



MINUTES

Open Portion
Monday, 28 April 2025
At 4.00pm
Council Chamber, Town Hall



ORDER OF BUSINESS

PRESENT, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY	4
2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	4
3. TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS.....	5
4. COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIRPERSON	5
5. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS.....	5
6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	7
7. PETITIONS.....	10
7.1. Response to Petition - Aberdeen Street Park - Request for Construction of a Petanque Pitch and Seating	10
8. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS	11
9. INDICATIONS OF PECUNIARY AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST	11

OFFICER REPORTS

10. Draft Integrated Assessment Report for the Macquarie Point Multipurpose Stadium Project of State Significance	12
11. Macpoint Stadium POSS - Elector Poll.....	18
12. 2025-26 Fees & Charges - Tasmanian Travel & Information Centre.....	19
13. Venue Hire Policy	20
14. Annual Plan Progress Report for the period ending 31 March 2025.....	21

MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

15. Lift The Tone	22
16. RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE	25
16.1 Water Tank Subsidy.....	25
16.2 Elected Member RTI's - External Review	25
16.3 Elected Members RTI's - Costs	25

16.4	Elected Member RTI's.....	25
16.5	Follow Up Correspondence	26
16.6	Traffic on 20 February 2025.....	26
16.8	DKHAC Roof	26
16.9	Facebook Post	26
16.10	Section Q - Access to Reimbursement	26
16.11	Update on School Active Travel Plans.....	26
16.12	Acknowledgement of Country	26
16.13	School Active Travel Plan - Next Tranche	26
17.	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE	27
18.	CLOSED PORTION OF THE MEETING.....	30

PRESENT:

The Lord Mayor Councillor A M Reynolds, the Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Dr Z E Sherlock, Alderman M Zucco, Councillors W F Harvey, M S C Dutta, J L Kelly, L M Elliot, Alderman L A Bloomfield, Councillors B Lohberger and G H Kitsos.

APOLOGIES:

Nil.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE:

Councillor W N S Coats.
Councillor R J Posselt.

Councillor Elliot left the meeting at 5.29pm. returning at 5.30pm.

1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY

The Chairperson opened the meeting and provided an acknowledgement of country.

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

The Chairperson reports that she has perused the minutes of the meeting of the Open Portion of the Council meeting held on [Monday, 31 March 2025](#), finds them to be a true record and recommends that they be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

SHERLOCK
KITSOS

That the minutes be signed.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

NOES

The minutes were signed.

3. TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

Are there any items, which the meeting believes, should be transferred from this agenda to the closed agenda or from the closed agenda to the open agenda, in accordance with the procedures allowed under Section 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*?

No items were transferred.

4. COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIRPERSON

No communication was received.

5. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS

In accordance with the requirements of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Chief Executive Officer reports that the following workshops have been conducted since the last ordinary meeting of the Council.

Date: 14 April 2025

Purpose: Outdoor Dining Policy and Guidelines | Potential Consolidation of Council Office Staff Accommodation

Attendance:

Councillor W N S Coats, Alderman M Zucco, Councillors W F Harvey, M S C Dutta, J L Kelly, L M Elliot, Alderman L A Bloomfield and Councillors W N S Coats and Councillor G Kitsos

Apologies:

Lord Mayor Councillor A M Reynolds, Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Dr Z E Sherlock and Councillor B Lohberger

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

6.1 Public Questions

6.1.1 Sara Callaghan - South Hobart Oval

Sara Callaghan put the following question and was provided with a response by the Chief Executive Officer.

QUESTION 1:

Please can you state how many dog attacks have been reported at South Hobart Oval or at other HCC grounds in the last 12 months?

RESPONSE:

There was one reported dog attack at South Hobart Oval and five on other Council reserves. There were 33 total dog attacks within the City of Hobart reported over this period.

6.1.2 Andrew Wilson - South Hobart Oval

Andrew Wilson put the following questions and was provided with a response by the Chief Executive Officer.

QUESTION 1:

Does the revenue from hire fees for the Soho Recreation Ground cover the full cost of ground maintenance, or only a percentage of the maintenance costs, with the shortfall having to be funded by rate payers?

QUESTION 2:

Does Council's vision for future use of the south Hobart Recreation Ground align with Ken and Vicky Morton/South Hobart Football Club's ambition to build an Indoor Domed Stadium?

QUESTION 3:

Has Council had discussions with the South Hobart Football Club about an alternative, more suitable location for their soccer activities, such as Hom Common or Olinda Grove?

RESPONSE:

There are currently no Council sports grounds that are self-funded. All require significant additional funding from Council's budget allocation.

The Council has yet to fully endorse the draft master plan for the South Hobart recreation site which in its current form, doesn't include domed stadium

We have not had any discussions with the South Hobart Football Club about alternative locations for their club.

6.1.3 Marla Crew - Suspended Networking Harmony Meetings

Marla Crew put the following question which was taken on notice by the Chief Executive Officer.

QUESTION 1:

Why has the Networking for Harmony meetings been suspended?

6.1.4 Susan Puckett - South Hobart Oval

Susan Puckett put the following questions which were taken on notice by the Chief Executive Officer.

QUESTION 1:

Has the Council generated any data on the extent of the local community use of the South Hobart Recreation Ground?

QUESTION 2:

Why was the name of the ground changed in 2022 and without any community consultation?

QUESTION 3:

Council staff have suggested on several occasions that mediation occurs between South Hobart Football Club and the community, when will this occur?

6.2 Responses to Public Questions Taken On Notice

SHERLOCK
HARVEY

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 6.2 of the Open Council Agenda of 28 April 2025, be adopted.

That the following responses to public questions taken on notice, be received and noted:

6.2.1 South Hobart Oval

Letter of the Director Strategic and Regulatory Services

6.2.2 Collins Street Trial and Public Meeting

Letter of the Director Strategic and Regulatory Services

7. PETITIONS

7.1. Response to Petition - Aberdeen Street Park - Request for Construction of a Petanque Pitch and Seating **File Ref: F25/27157**

SHERLOCK
HARVEY

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 7.1 of the Open Council Agenda of 28 April 2025, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

NOES

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That:

1. The Council note the request raised in the Petition titled Aberdeen Street Park - Request for construction of a pétanque pitch and seating, marked as Attachment A to item 7.1 of the Open Council Agenda of 28 April 2025.
2. That Council officers consider options for this request and provide a further report back to the Council for its consideration.
3. The lead Petitioners be advised of the outcomes of this report.

8. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS

Ref: Part 2, Regulation 8(6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Council resolve to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the Chief Executive Officer in accordance with the provisions of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

No supplementary items were received.

9. INDICATIONS OF PECUNIARY AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Ref: Part 2, Regulation 8(7) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Elected Members are requested to indicate where they may have any pecuniary or conflict of interest in respect to any matter appearing on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda.

No interest was indicated.

OFFICER REPORTS

10. Draft Integrated Assessment Report for the Macquarie Point Multipurpose Stadium Project of State Significance File Ref: F25/19839; 24/13

Note: The following alternative motion was moved rather than the recommendation contained within the officer report.

DUTTA
KITSOS

That the submission to the Tasmanian Planning Commission in response to the draft Integrated Assessment Report for the Macquarie Point Multipurpose Stadium Project of State Significance, marked as item 10, attachment A to the officer report, be amended to include the following updates prior to it being submitted:

- (A) A preamble / introduction be included at the beginning of the submission stating that Council does not support this project being built at this location because the negative impacts outweigh the positives.

The preamble also summarise Council's consistent concerns which are:

1. significant damage to places of heritage significance (Hunter Street precinct and the Cenotaph) and Hobart's heritage tourism brand;
2. the lost opportunity of what will be an inactive precinct for most of the year in a prime and strategic location for the city;
3. the significant environmental concerns raised by the EPA in their submission to the TPC;
4. the impact of the northern road in cutting off community access to the river;
5. the very poor process that led to this point including the AFL overriding the approved plan for Macquarie Point and the abandonment of the POSS process;
6. the lack of critical transport infrastructure to support a functional stadium.

- (B) Section on Social and Community issues:

1. be rewritten to include the perspectives and information from local organisations drawing on the perspectives of the Palawa community, the RSL, the TSO, the City's Heritage Advisory Committee and other organisations who have provided information on the social and community issues.
2. This section could also draw on community consultations on the MPDC Precinct Plan as well as community polling that has taken place on this project;
3. This section should outline and reflect the concerns that the Council have expressed to date about the process to date in the form of

decisions and submissions. The anecdotes provided from desktop research about other cities (provided by AEC consulting) provides no local perspectives and does not draw on local research. This is not relevant for this section of the submission;

4. The section reviewing the economic impact of the proposal include equal coverage of both the studies commissioned by the Council.
- (C) Also that a section be included to explain that due to cost considerations, Council was not able to undertake an in-depth economic study that would have been able to draw on local data.
- (D) Also note that it's own economic studies were not able to consider and discount relevant matters such as foregone or opportunity costs, i.e. other events that may be harmed, impact on heritage tourism brand, other providers that will lose income (i.e. conference) and alternative uses of the site that may be more frequently used, profitable or productive.

HARVEY
LOHBERGER

That Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Sherlock be granted an extra three minutes to address the meeting.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

AMENDMENT

SHERLOCK
LOHBERGER

That:

1. The submission states that the economic impact information provided in the AEC report adopts Input-Output (IO) modelling, where multipliers used in the assessment were derived from a parent table, in this case, the 2021/22 Australian (national) transaction table (ABS, 2024a), without undertaking any primary data collection in Hobart. And that the AEC report confesses to using an economic modelling that assumes there is no budget constraint in place for residents and no supply side constraints.
2. Notes that the Australian Bureau of Statistics has stated that while IO multipliers may be useful as summary statistics to assist in understanding the degree to which an industry is integrated into the economy, their inherent shortcomings make them inappropriate for economic impact analysis.
3. Notes the limitations stated in the AEC report on the negative reliability of Input-Output analysis regarding the potential economic impact to the City.
4. Includes equal coverage of both the studies commissioned by the Council - the SGS Economics and AEC Report. And note that an economic impact study is not an evaluation tool to demonstrate the cost-benefit analysis of the proposal.

HARVEY
KITSOS

That Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Sherlock be granted an extra two minutes to address the meeting.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Zucco
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	Kelly
Harvey	Elliot
Dutta	Bloomfield
Lohberger	
Kitsos	

AMENDMENT CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Zucco
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	Kelly
Harvey	Elliot
Dutta	Bloomfield
Lohberger	
Kitsos	

SHERLOCK
HARVEY

That Alderman Zucco be granted an extra two minutes to address the meeting.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Zucco	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Lohberger	
Kitsos	

SHERLOCK
HARVEY

That the Lord Mayor Councillor Reynolds be granted an extra two minutes to address the meeting.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

NOES

SUBSTANTIVE MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Lohberger
Kitsos

NOES

Zucco
Elliot
Bloomfield

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That the submission to the Tasmanian Planning Commission in response to the draft Integrated Assessment Report for the Macquarie Point Multipurpose Stadium Project of State Significance, marked as item 10, attachment A to the officer report, be amended to include the following updates prior to it being submitted:

- (A) A preamble / introduction be included at the beginning of the submission stating that Council does not support this project being built at this location because the negative impacts outweigh the positives.

The preamble also summarise Council's consistent concerns which are:

1. significant damage to places of heritage significance (Hunter Street precinct and the Cenotaph) and Hobart's heritage tourism brand;
2. the lost opportunity of what will be an inactive precinct for most of the year in a prime and strategic location for the city;
3. the significant environmental concerns raised by the EPA in their submission to the TPC;

4. the impact of the northern road in cutting off community access to the river;
5. the very poor process that led to this point including the AFL overriding the approved plan for Macquarie Point and the abandonment of the POSS process;
6. the lack of critical transport infrastructure to support a functional stadium.

(B) Section on Social and Community issues:

1. be rewritten to include the perspectives and information from local organisations drawing on the perspectives of the Palawa community, the RSL, the TSO, the City's Heritage Advisory Committee and other organisations who have provided information on the social and community issues.
2. This section could also draw on community consultations on the MPDC Precinct Plan as well as community polling that has taken place on this project;
3. This section should outline and reflect the concerns that the Council have expressed to date about the process to date in the form of decisions and submissions. The anecdotes provided from desktop research about other cities (provided by AEC consulting) provides no local perspectives and does not draw on local research. This is not relevant for this section of the submission;
4. The section reviewing the economic impact of the proposal include equal coverage of both the studies commissioned by the Council.

(C) Also that a section be included to explain that due to cost considerations, Council was not able to undertake an in-depth economic study that would have been able to draw on local data.

(D) State that the economic impact information provided in the AEC report adopts Input-Output (IO) modelling, where multipliers used in the assessment were derived from a parent table, in this case, the 2021/22 Australian (national) transaction table (ABS, 2024a), without undertaking any primary data collection in Hobart. And that the AEC report confesses to using an economic modelling that assumes there is no budget constraint in place for residents and no supply side constraints.

(E) Notes that the Australian Bureau of Statistics has stated that while IO multipliers may be useful as summary statistics to assist in understanding the degree to which an industry is integrated into the economy, their inherent shortcomings make them inappropriate for economic impact analysis.

(F) Notes the limitations stated in the AEC report on the negative reliability of Input-Output analysis regarding the potential economic impact to the City.

- (G) Includes equal coverage of both the studies commissioned by the Council - the SGS Economics and AEC Report. And note that an economic impact study is not an evaluation tool to demonstrate the cost-benefit analysis of the proposal.
- (H) Also note that it's own economic studies were not able to consider and discount relevant matters such as foregone or opportunity costs, i.e. other events that may be harmed, impact on heritage tourism brand, other providers that will lose income (i.e. conference) and alternative uses of the site that may be more frequently used, profitable or productive.

11. Macpoint Stadium POSS - Elector Poll
File Ref: F25/27053; 24/13

LOHBERGER
SHERLOCK

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 11 of the Open Council Agenda of 28 April 2025, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

NOES

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That Council note and receive the report titled 'MacPoint Stadium POSS – Elector Poll' and determine not to proceed with an Elector Poll.

12. 2025-26 Fees & Charges - Tasmanian Travel & Information Centre
File Ref: F25/15305

SHERLOCK
HARVEY

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 12 of the Open Council Agenda of 28 April 2025, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Zucco
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	Kelly
Harvey	Elliot
Dutta	Bloomfield
Lohberger	
Kitsos	

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That the schedule of Fees & Charges for the Tasmanian Travel & Information Centre, marked as Attachment A to this report, be adopted for the 2025-26 financial year.

13. Venue Hire Policy
File Ref: F25/23514

KITSOS
LOHBERGER

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 13 of the Open Council Agenda of 28 April 2025, be adopted.

PROCEDURAL MOTION

That the matter be deferred to a Council Workshop for further consideration.

ELLIOT
HARVEY

PROCEDURAL MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

NOES

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That the matter be deferred to a Council workshop for further consideration.

14. Annual Plan Progress Report for the period ending 31 March 2025
File Ref: F25/25353

HARVEY
KITSOS

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 14 of the Open Council Agenda of 28 April 2025, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

NOES

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That the Council note the 2024-25 Annual Plan Progress Report for the period ending 31 March 2025, marked as Attachment A of item 14 of the Open Council Agenda of 28 April 2025.

MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGULATION 16(5) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
(MEETING PROCEDURES) REGULATIONS 2015**

15. Lift The Tone

FILE REF: F25/25295; 13-1-9

Alderman Louise Bloomfield

Motion

“That:

1. Council resolves to challenge the normalisation of abuse against elected members and officers and uphold exemplary standards of public and political debate in all it does.
2. Council further resolves to pledge support for the Local Government Association of Tasmania’s Lift the Tone campaign. In supporting the pledge Council commits to:
 - Promoting civility in public debate.
 - Refraining from abusive language and behaviour online or in person.
 - Encouraging others to engage respectfully.”

Rationale:

“Our council and many others are experiencing increasing levels of toxicity in public and political discourse. While ensuring that everyone has a voice, including engaging in debate, is a vital part of democracy, when comments and actions shift from honest and respectful to abusive, they become harmful.

This intimidation of councillors, in person or otherwise, undermines democracy; preventing elected members from representing the communities they serve and deterring individuals from standing for election.

In late 2024 the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) conducted a survey of elected representatives to seek a better understanding of their experiences of bullying, harassment and intimidation.

The survey found that 78% of respondents had experienced abuse or intimidation from members of the community during the last two years. It was identified that the most common place for this to occur was social media (47%), followed by verbally in public (37%).

LGAT has now developed the Lift the Tone campaign, which aims to raise public awareness of this issue and reduce harassment and abuse directed towards elected representatives and council staff by encouraging constructive and solution-oriented public debate.”

Administration Response to Notice of Motion

Discussion

1. On the 3 April 2025 the Local Government Association of Tasmania launched Lift the Tone, a campaign that aims to address the alarming rise of abuse and intimidation faced by elected representatives and council staff.
2. The City supports the Local Government initiative to Lift the Tone.
3. The City encourages all Tasmanians to sign the online pledge to commit to respectful communication, which will help create a strong and united community.

Strategic, Legislative and Policy Implications

Capital City Strategic Plan

Pillar: 8 Governance and Civic Involvement
Outcome: 8.1 Hobart is a city that is well governed that recognises the community as an active partner that informs decisions.
Strategy: 8.1.1 Build community trust through the implementation of effective civic leadership, ethical conduct and responsible governance processes that ensure accountability, transparency and compliance with all legislated and statutory requirements.

Legislation and Policy

Legislation: Work Health and Safety Act 2012
Policy:

Financial Implications

1. N/A

BLOOMFIELD
LOHBERGER

That the motion be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That:

1. Council resolves to challenge the normalisation of abuse against elected members and officers and uphold exemplary standards of public and political debate in all it does.
2. Council further resolves to pledge support for the Local Government Association of Tasmania's Lift the Tone campaign. In supporting the pledge Council commits to:
 - Promoting civility in public debate.
 - Refraining from abusive language and behaviour online or in person.
 - Encouraging others to engage respectfully.

16. RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Regulation 29 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.
File Ref: 13-1-10

HARVEY
SHERLOCK

That the information contained in the following questions without notice be received and noted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

NOES

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That the information contained in the following responses to questions without notice, be received and noted.

16.1 Water Tank Subsidy

Memorandum of the Director Infrastructure and Assets of 28 January 2025

16.2 Elected Member RTI's - External Review

Memorandum of the Director Corporate Services of 24 February 2025

16.3 Elected Members RTI's - Costs

Memorandum of the Director Corporate Services of 24 February 2025

16.4 Elected Member RTI's

Memorandum of the Director Corporate Services of 24 February 2025

16.5 Follow Up Correspondence

Memorandum of the Director Strategic and Regulatory Services of 24 February 2025

16.6 Traffic on 20 February 2025

Memorandum of the Director Strategic and Regulatory Services of 24 February 2025

16.8 DKHAC Roof

Memorandum of the Director Community and Economic Development of 24 February 2025

16.9 Facebook Post

Memorandum of the Director Strategic and Regulatory Services of 24 February 2025

16.10 Section Q - Access to Reimbursement

Memorandum of the Director Corporate Services of 24 February 2025

16.11 Update on School Active Travel Plans

Memorandum of the Director Strategic and Regulatory Services of 31 March 2025

16.12 Acknowledgement of Country

Memorandum of the Director Community and Economic Development of 31 March 2025

16.13 School Active Travel Plan - Next Tranche

Memorandum of the Director Strategic and Regulatory Services of 31 March 2025

17. QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

Regulation 29 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.
File Ref: 13-1-10

17.1 Councillor Lohberger - Different agendas for April 2025

Question: I noticed that there were different agenda issued for April 2025 meeting is that correct?

Response: The Chief Executive Officer advised that he is not in a position to discuss the reasons for two different agendas being published.

17.2 Councillor Harvey - Collins Street Elector Poll

Question: Has a petition been received with appropriate numbers for Collins Street.

Response: The Chief Executive Officer confirmed that a petition for Collins Street Elector Poll had not been received and that the time frame has now lapsed.

17.3 Lord Mayor - Councillor Reynolds - High level summary of elected member RTI requests

Question: Is it possible to be provided with a high level summary of the 21 Right to Information (RTIs) requests submitted by Council Members?

Response: No, the Manager Legal and Corporate Governance advised Councillors making RTI requests do so as private citizens. As there are only twelve Elected Members, it would be easy to identify who made the requests (even if the information was de-identified). This would result in a Privacy Act breach.

17.4 Councillor Elliot - Clarity around meeting rules

Question: Can we get some clarity around:

- If a member has spoken to an amendment, can they then move another amendment?

- when an Officers recommendation is not moved, can we move an entirely new motion?
- When an Officer's recommendation is moved and fails, do we move a foreshadowed amendment / motions?

Response: The Manager Legal and Corporate Governance agreed to provide a response in writing via Q&A and will discuss further with members at a workshop.

17.5 Councillor Elliot - Priority to addressing incident raised by Mala Crew

Question: Can the Chief Executive Officer give priority to addressing the incident relating to the terrible comments / hate posts being made by an individual. As raised by Mala Crew during Public Questions?

Response: The Chief Executive Officer advised that the matter is currently being investigated and a response will be provided as soon as possible.

17.6 Councillor Elliot - RTI disclosure log availability

Question: Are we any closer to being able to provide a Right to Information disclosure log on our web site?

Response: The Manager Legal and Corporate Governance advised that we are about to undertake user acceptance testing and aim to have this completed by the end of the financial year.

17.7 Councillor Elliot - Council's participation in the RTI review

Question: I understand that Professor Snell is doing a review of the Right to Information process – will Council be making a submission?

Response: The Manager Legal and Corporate Governance advised that Officers have met with both Professor Snell and Professor McCormick (co-Chair) of the project.

17.8 Councillor Elliot - Notification of republished agenda

Question: Can elected members be notified when an agenda has been republished?

Response: The Chief Executive Officer agreed to providing notification if an agenda is republished.

17.9 Councillor Elliot - Access to same information

Question: Councillor Posselt published part of an agenda on Twitter, that was later removed. Why did Councillor Posselt have access to information that isn't on 'our agenda'.

Response: The Chief Executive Officer advised that he is not at liberty to discuss the reason for republishing the agenda at this time.

17.10 Deputy Lord Mayor - Councillor Sherlock - Agenda had a particular question

Question: Did the agenda have a particular question that was asked and later removed?

Response: The Chief Executive Officer advised that he is not at liberty to discuss the reason for republishing the agenda at this time.

17.11 Lord Mayor - Councillor Reynolds - Privacy in relation to RTI disclosures

Question: Can you tell us a bit more about why the RTI disclosure log would not be a Privacy Breach, i.e providing Council with a high level summary of the 21 RTI requests would be considered a Privacy Breach?

Response: The Manager Legal and Corporate Governance advised that RTI disclosure log is a compilation of the description of information requests made by a number of people and organisations. There is no identifiable

information included in the log that would be able to 'reasonably' identify the applicant. Whereas providing information on RTI information requests, just submitted by elected members, can reasonably lead to the identification of the individual elected member making the request. That would lead to a Privacy Breach.

17.12 Alderman Bloomfield - Lord Beach Jetty

Question: Are we up to a new stage or point in relation to the ferry terminals and Lord Beach Jetty as I note there has been a lot of interest in the media?

Response: The Chief Executive Officer advised that Lord Beach was identified as the preferred option. Officers were undertaking further community engagement which is now complete and Council will be provided with a report on the outcome of the engagement in the next few weeks.

18. CLOSED PORTION OF THE MEETING

That the Council resolve by absolute majority that the meeting be closed to the public pursuant to regulation 15(1) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* because the items included on the closed agenda contain the following matters:

- Minutes of a closed Council meeting
- Information of a personal and confidential nature
- Information relating to commercial arrangements
- Proposals for the Council in interest of land

The following items were discussed:-

- | | |
|------------|--|
| Item No. 1 | Minutes of the last meeting of the Closed Portion of the Council Meeting |
| Item No. 2 | Communication from the Chairperson |
| Item No. 3 | Leave of Absence |
| Item No. 4 | Consideration of supplementary Items to the agenda |
| Item No. 5 | Indications of pecuniary and conflicts of interest |
| Item No. 6 | Revised E-Scooter Permit Conditions for Public Tender - Commercial In Confidence
LG(MP)R 15(2)(d) |

- Item No. 7 Further Option Period for Lark Lease at 12-14 Davey Street,
Hobart
LG(MP)R 15(2)(b)
- Item No. 8 Contract Extension - Provision of GIS Product and Services
LG(MP)R 15(2)(d)
- Item No. 9 Request to Waive Requirement to Tender - Australia Post
Postbillpay Services
LG(MP)R 15(2)(d)
- Item No. 10 Request to Waive Requirement to Tender - The Mercury
Newspaper Advertising
LG(MP)R 15(2)(d)
- Item No. 11 Questions without Notice

HARVEY
DUTTA

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED BY
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Zucco
Harvey
Dutta
Kelly
Elliot
Bloomfield
Lohberger
Kitsos

There being no further business the Open portion of the meeting closed at
6.45pm.

TAKEN AS READ AND SIGNED AS
A CORRECT RECORD THIS
26TH DAY OF MAY 2025.

CHAIRPERSON