



CITY OF HOBART

# MINUTES

Open Portion

Monday, 14 October 2024

At 4.00 pm

Council Chamber, Town Hall



City of HOBART

---

## ORDER OF BUSINESS

---

### PRESENT, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

1.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY .....	4
2.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	4
3.	TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS.....	5
4.	COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIRPERSON .....	6
5.	NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS.....	6
6.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME .....	6
7.	PETITIONS.....	6
8.	CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS .....	7
9.	INDICATIONS OF PECUNIARY AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST .....	7

### OFFICER REPORTS

10.	Response to Petition - Waterworks Road Pedestrian Safety Improvements and Add a footpath in Romilly Street.....	8
11.	City of Hobart Advocacy Priorities 2024-2025 .....	9
12.	2024-25 New Fees And Charges For Information Requests and New Information Disclosure Policy and Procedures .....	9
13.	Grants and Sponsorship Review Recommendations .....	11
14.	2024-25 Sponsorship Program.....	13
15.	City of Hobart Grants Program - Event Partnership and Major Cultural Organisation Grants Recommendations .....	14
16.	Fee Waivers - Community Based Homelessness & Social Support Groups .....	16
17.	Governance Review - Establishment of Council Committee .....	17
18.	2024-25 Annual Plan Progress Report for the period ending 30 September 2024 .....	20
19.	Annual Complaints and Compliments Report 2023-24.....	21

**REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

**20. Code of Conduct Determination Report Ms Nala Mansell v  
Councillor Louise Elliot..... 21**

**MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

**21. Red-Light Enforcement ..... 22**

**22. Advocacy for UTAS Sandy Bay Campus..... 28**

**23. RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE..... 34**

**24. QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE ..... 35**

**BUSINESS ARISING**

**25. Questions Taken on Notice During Debate..... 40**

**26. CLOSED PORTION OF THE MEETING..... 41**

**SUPPLEMENTARY ITEM**

**27. Collins Street Tactical Bicycle Infrastructure Trial - Contingency  
Funding..... 42**

**PRESENT:**

The Lord Mayor Councillor A M Reynolds, the Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Dr Z Sherlock, Councillors W F Harvey, M S C Dutta, J L Kelly, L Elliot, Alderman L Bloomfield, Councillors R J Posselt, B Lohberger, W S N Coats and G Kitsos.

**APOLOGIES:**

Nil.

**LEAVE OF ABSENCE:**

Alderman M Zucco.

The Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock joined the meeting at 4:00pm and was not present for item 1.

Councillor Lohberger left the meeting at 4:07pm, returning at 4:09pm and was not present for items 3 to 8.

The Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock left the meeting at 4:13pm, after declaring an interest in item 22, returning at 4:54pm.

Councillor Kelly left the meeting at 4:40pm during the debate of item 22, returning at 4:41pm.

Alderman Bloomfield left the meeting at 4:54pm, returning at 4:56pm and was not present for item 11.

Alderman Bloomfield left the meeting at 4:57pm, after declaring an interest in item 13, returning at 4:58pm.

Councillor Elliot left the meeting at 5:29pm, after declaring an interest in item 20, returning at 5:29pm

**1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY**

---

The Lord Mayor provided an acknowledgment of country.

**2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**

---

The Chairperson reports that she has perused the minutes of the meeting of the Open Portion of the Council meeting held on [Monday, 16 September 2024](#), finds them to be a true record and recommends that they be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

DUTTA  
POSSELT

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

The minutes were signed.

**3. TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS**

---

Are there any items, which the meeting believes, should be transferred from this agenda to the closed agenda or from the closed agenda to the open agenda, in accordance with the procedures allowed under Section 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*?

No items were transferred.

COATS  
ELLIOT

That the item 22 titled *Advocacy for UTAS Sandy Bay Campus* be taken after item 10 to allow for more coherent debate in respect to item 11 *City of Hobart Advocacy Priorities 2024-2025*.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock
Kelly	Harvey
Elliot	Dutta
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Coats	
Kitsos	

#### **4. COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIRPERSON**

---

No communication was received.

#### **5. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS**

---

In accordance with the requirements of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Chief Executive Officer reports that the following Council workshops have been conducted since the last ordinary meeting of the Council.

Date: Monday, 23 September 2024  
Purpose: Bus Shelter Advertising | Information Disclosure Policy and RTI Fees and Charges | Future Hobart Council Committee | CEO Performance Review Discussion

**Attendance:**

The Lord Mayor Councillor A Reynolds, Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Z Sherlock, Councillors B Harvey, M Dutta, J Kelly, L Elliot, Alderman L Bloomfield, Councillors R Posselt, B Lohberger, and G Kitsos.

Date: Monday, 7 October 2024  
Purpose: Macquarie Point Stadium | Annual Progress Report | Risk Assessment Council, Council Committee Meetings and Workshops

**Attendance:**

The Lord Mayor Councillor A Reynolds, Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Z Sherlock, Councillors B Harvey, M Dutta, J Kelly, L Elliot, Alderman L Bloomfield, Councillors R Posselt, B Lohberger, W Coats and G Kitsos.

#### **6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

---

No public questions were received.

#### **7. PETITIONS**

---

No petitions were received.

## **8. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS**

Ref: Part 2, Regulation 8(6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

That the Council resolve to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the Chief Executive Officer in accordance with the provisions of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

POSSELT  
HARVEY

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

### VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Coats	
Kitsos	

## **9. INDICATIONS OF PECUNIARY AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

Ref: Part 2, Regulation 8(7) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Elected Members are requested to indicate where they may have any pecuniary or conflicts of interest in respect to any matter appearing on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda, which the Council has resolved to deal with.

The following interest was indicated:

1. Alderman Bloomfield - item 13 only in respect to the City of Hobart Eisteddfod which will be taken separately.
2. Councillor Elliot - item 20.
3. The Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock - item 22.

**OFFICER REPORTS**

**10. Response to Petition - Waterworks Road Pedestrian Safety Improvements and Add a footpath in Romilly Street  
File Ref: F24/76898; R0794**

---

POSSELT  
SHERLOCK

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 10 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Kelly  
Elliot  
Bloomfield  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Coats  
Kitsos

NOES

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. The Council note the concerns raised in the petitions titled "Add a footpath to Romilly Street in South Hobart" and "Waterworks Road Pedestrian Safety Improvements".
2. The Council approves the preparation of a feasibility study including an assessment of design options, community engagement of options with local residents, and a detailed cost estimate of options for Waterworks Road (between Romilly Street and Lynton Avenue) and Romilly Street (Waterworks Road to 26 Romilly Street).
3. The Council notes that funding will be sought, in line with Council priorities, for the recommended solution identified through the feasibility study.
4. The lead petitioners be advised of the outcomes of this report.

Item 22 was then taken.



**11. City of Hobart Advocacy Priorities 2024-2025**  
**File Ref: F24/83456**

---

POSSELT  
LOHBERGER

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 11 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Kelly  
Elliot  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Coats  
Kitsos

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That the Council endorse the 2024-2025 City of Hobart Advocacy Priorities marked as Attachment B to item 11 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024.

**12. 2024-25 New Fees And Charges For Information Requests and New  
Information Disclosure Policy and Procedures**  
**File Ref: F24/85642; 22/16**

---

HARVEY  
LOHBERGER

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 12 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Kelly  
Elliot  
Bloomfield  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Coats  
Kitsos

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. The Council approve the new fees and charges for the supply of information requests in its 2024-25 Fees and Charges, as set out in Attachment A to item 12 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024.
  - (i) If approved, the City's 2024-25 Fees and Charges booklet be updated accordingly.
2. The Council approve the new 'Information Disclosure Policy and Procedures' document, as marked as Attachment B to item 12 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, and agree to rescind the Open Data Policy, as marked as Attachment C of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024.

Alderman Bloomfield left the meeting at 4:57pm after declaring an interest in the consideration for grant funding in respect to the City of Hobart Eisteddfod of which was taken separately, returning at 4:58pm

**13. Grants and Sponsorship Review Recommendations**  
**File Ref: F24/74920; 15-12**

---

KITSOS  
SHERLOCK

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 13 of the Open Council Agenda, be adopted apart from funding for the City of Hobart Eisteddfod which will be taken separately.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

LOHBERGER  
POSSELT

That grant funding in respect to the City of Hobart Eisteddfod be approved.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. The Council note and endorse the recommendations contained with the report titled City of Hobart - Funding Program Review, marked as Attachment A to item 13 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024.
2. The Council approve the development of a funding policy based on the recommendations within the review report.
3. The Council extend the City Partnerships grant agreements for 12 months to support the events scheduled between 1 July 2024 and 30 June 2025. This includes:

Event	Organisation	Grant value
Festa Italia	Australian Italian Club Inc	\$10,818
Estia Greek Festival	Greek Orthodox Church and Benevolent Society of St George	\$10,818
The Royal Hobart Regatta	Royal Hobart Regatta	\$32,454
The Sandy Bay Regatta	Sandy Bay Regatta Association Inc	\$32,454
Hobart Anzac Day Commemorations	RSL Tasmania	\$32,454
City of Hobart Eisteddfod	City of Hobart Eisteddfod Society Inc	\$10,818
Tasmanian Theatre Awards	The Theatre Council of Tasmania Inc	\$10,818
	Total	\$140,634

4. The total grant provision for each organisation be recorded in the 'Grants, Assistance and Benefits provided' section of the City of Hobart's 2024-25 Annual Report.

**14. 2024-25 Sponsorship Program**  
**File Ref: F24/83645; 24/32**

---

SHERLOCK  
HARVEY

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 14 of the Open Council Agenda, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. The Council approve the provision of event sponsorship grants to the value of \$165,000 as part of the 2024-25 Sponsorship Program:
  - (i) Australian Wooden Boat Festival - \$60,000 cash and \$65,000 in-kind value (\$125,000 total) for the delivery of the Australian Wooden Boat Festival 2025.
  - (ii) Tennis Australia - \$6,527 cash and up to \$33,473 in-kind (\$40,000 total) for the delivery of 2025 Hobart International.
2. The Council delegate the authority to the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and enter into the sponsorship agreement on the Council's behalf.

3. The total value of support be recorded in the 'Grants, Assistance and Benefits provided' section of the City of Hobart's Annual Report 2024-25.
4. The funding for the approved sponsorships be attributed to the Smart Economy – Sponsorship Budget Function provided for in the City of Hobart's 2024-25 budget.

**15. City of Hobart Grants Program - Event Partnership and Major Cultural Organisation Grants Recommendations**  
**File Ref: F24/80309**

---

SHERLOCK  
LOHBERGER

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 15 of the Open Council Agenda, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. The Council approve the following grants as listed to a total value of \$424,250 under the 2024-25 Community Grants Program:

Major Cultural organisations:

- |     |                       |          |
|-----|-----------------------|----------|
| (i) | MCO2401 Theatre Royal | \$35,000 |
|-----|-----------------------|----------|

(ii) MCO232 Tasmanian Museum and Art Gallery \$35,000

(iii) MCO235 Tasmanian Symphony Orchestra \$30,000

Event Partnerships:

(iv) EP2405 Beaker Street Ltd. \$82,537

(v) EP2414 Theatre Royal \$49,913

(vi) EP2406 Festival of Voices \$70,000

(vii) EP2413 Trail Ventures \$56,800

(viii) EP2416 Atlas Events \$25,000

(ix) EP2403 Australian Institute of Architects \$20,000

(x) EP2402 Chinese Community Association  
of Tasmania Inc. \$20,000

2. The funding for the approved grants be attributed to the Community Grants Budget Function provided in the City of Hobart's 2024-25 Annual Plan.
3. The total grant provision be recorded in the 'Grants, Assistance and Benefits provided' section of the City of Hobart's 2024-25 Annual Report.

**16. Fee Waivers - Community Based Homelessness & Social Support  
Groups  
File Ref: F24/82348**

---

HARVEY  
SHERLOCK

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked at item 16 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. The Council waive a total of \$24,651.20 (incl. GST) in community hire fees made up of the following specific amounts, for the use of Mathers or Criterion House for the following community led social support services, for the 2024-2025 financial year:

a) Food Not Bombs	\$8,860.80
b) Dining with Friends	\$1,533.60
c) Circle of Love and Care	\$2,953.60
d) Pets in the Park	\$681.60
e) Hobart Hamlet Association	\$227.20
f) Mutual Aid Kitchen	\$8,860.80
g) Love Hobart	\$1,533.60
2. The value of the support to each organisation be recorded in the 'Grants, Assistance and Benefits Provided' section of the City of Hobart Annual Report.



**17. Governance Review - Establishment of Council Committee**  
**File Ref: F24/89650**

---

SHERLOCK  
KITSOS

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked at item 17 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted, as amended by the addition of the words (*in alphabetical order*) after the word *Chairperson* in clause 7.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Kelly  
Elliot  
Bloomfield  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Coats  
Kitsos

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. Council establish a Council Committee called 'The Hobart Workshop', pursuant to section 23 of the *Local Government Act 1993* in accordance with the Terms of Reference at attachment A, to be held twice monthly, commencing at 4.00pm and concluding no later than 8.00pm on Mondays.
2. Council delegates to The Hobart Workshop, the following functions and powers:
  - (a) Subject to clause 4, the Council delegates all of its functions and powers under the *Local Government Act 1993 (Tas)* and all other Acts, other than the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (Tas), to The Hobart Workshop.
3. Unless otherwise resolved by the Council, the Council does not delegate to The Hobart Workshop any of the following functions and powers:
  - (a) Those listed in section 22(3) of the *Local Government Act 1993 (Tas)*, being:
    - The borrowing of money or other financial accommodation.

- The determination of the categories of expenses payable to councillors and any member of any committee;
  - The establishment of council committees, special committees, controlling authorities, single authorities or joint authorities;
  - The revision of the budget or financial statements of the Council;
  - The revision of the strategic plan and the annual plan of the Council;
  - The appointment of the General Manager;
  - The sale, donation, exchange or other disposal of land or public land;
  - The decision to exercise any power under section 21(1);
  - The making of by-laws;
  - The making of rates and charges under Part 9; and
  - Any other prescribed power; and
- (b) Adoption or revision of the Council's:
- Long-term Financial Management Plan;
  - Financial Management Strategy;
  - Long-term Strategic Asset Management Plan;
  - Asset Management Policy;
  - Asset Management Strategy; and
  - Regional and Municipal Strategies and Plans.
4. Subject to sub-paragraph (a), the Council delegates all of its functions and powers as the planning authority under the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (Tas) to The Hobart Workshop, other than its power of delegation. The Committee has full power to make planning decisions on behalf of the Council.
- (a) The Council's delegation of its functions and powers as the planning authority to The Hobart Workshop is conditional upon a matter being deferred to the Committee pursuant to:
- (i) The Terms of Reference of the Planning Authority Committee; or
- (ii) A decision of the Council.
5. Council appoints the following seven Elected Members to be an Appointed Member of The Hobart Workshop:
- Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Dr Zelinda Sherlock
  - Councillor John Kelly
  - Councillor Louise Elliot
  - Alderman Louise Bloomfield

- Councillor Ryan Posselt
  - Councillor Ben Lohberger
  - Councillor Gemma Kitsos
6. Council confirms that an Appointed Member will temporarily cease to be an Appointed Member of The Hobart Workshop in the circumstances provided for by clause 4.5 of the Terms of Reference.
  7. Council appoints the following members to be the Chairperson (in alphabetical order) of The Hobart Workshop and authorises alterations to these appointments to be made by the Chief Executive Officer where the unavailability of an appointed Elected Member prevents them from completing their appointed role of Chairperson:
    - Alderman Louise Bloomfield as the Chairperson for January 2025.
    - Councillor Will Coats as the Chairperson for February 2025.
    - Councillor Mike Dutta as the Chairperson for March 2025.
    - Councillor Louise Elliot as the Chairperson for April 2025.
    - Councillor Bill Harvey as the Chairperson for May 2025.
    - Councillor John Kelly as the Chairperson for June 2025.
    - Councillor Emma Kitsos as the Chairperson for July 2025.
    - Councillor Ben Lohberger as the Chairperson for August 2025.
    - Councillor Ryan Posselt as the Chairperson for September 2025.
    - The Lord Mayor Councillor Anna Reynolds as the Chairperson for October 2025.
    - The Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor Dr Zelinda Sherlock as the Chairperson for November 2025.
    - Alderman Marti Zucco as the Chairperson for December 2025.
  8. Council delegates to The Hobart Workshop its functions and powers under section 23(2) of the Local Government Act 1993.
  9. Council delegates to the Chief Executive Officer its functions and powers under section 23(2) of the Local Government Act 1993 (Tas) to appoint members of The Hobart Workshop in accordance with clauses 4.6 and 5.3 of the Terms of Reference.
  10. Council authorises the Chief Executive Officer to delegate the functions and powers delegated in accordance with Clause 9 to an employee of the Council.
  11. The Chief Executive Officer record these delegations in writing, signed by the Chief Executive Officer, in accordance with section 23AA(2)(b) of the *Acts Interpretations Act 1931 (Tas)*.
  12. The 'Meetings: Procedures and Guidelines' policy and the 'City of Hobart Governance Framework' be updated as required to reflect this decision.

**18. 2024-25 Annual Plan Progress Report for the period ending 30  
September 2024  
File Ref: F24/84337**

---

SHERLOCK  
LOHBERGER

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked at item 18 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED  
BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. Council notes the 2024-25 Annual Plan Progress Report for the period ending 30 September 2024, marked as Attachment A to item 18 of the Open Council Agenda of 18 October 2024.
2. Council, by absolute majority, rescind the decision of 22 May 2023, that Annual Plan progress reports be the subject of a Council Workshop.
3. Council notes that future Annual Plan Progress Reports will be provided in the month following the end of the period.

**19. Annual Complaints and Compliments Report 2023-24**  
**File Ref: F24/69639**

---

SHERLOCK  
POSSELT

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked at item 19 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That in accordance with Section 339F (5) of the *Local Government Act 1993*, the Council notes the report marked as item 19 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, in respect of the complaints and compliments received during the 2023-24 financial year.

**REPORT OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

Councillor Elliot left the meeting at 5:29pm after declaring an interest in item 20, returning at 5:29pm.

**20. Code of Conduct Determination Report**  
**Ms Nala Mansell v Councillor Louise Elliot**  
**File Ref: F24/86294**

---

SHERLOCK  
LOHBERGER

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked at item 20 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That the Council receive and note the Code of Conduct Determination Report in respect to a complaint lodged by Ms Nala Mansell against Councillor Louise Elliot, shown as Attachment A to item 20 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024.

**MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGULATION 16(5) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
(MEETING PROCEDURES) REGULATIONS 2015**

**21. Red-Light Enforcement**  
**File Ref: F24/88792**

---

Motion

“That:

1. The City of Hobart write to the Transport Minister, Department of State Growth and Tasmania Police requesting regular enforcement action of red-light compliance on the intersections listed below:
  - a. Macquarie/Elizabeth
  - b. Macquarie/Murray
  - c. Davey/Campbell
  - d. Davey/Elizabeth
  - e. Davey/Murray
  - f. Risdon/New Town

2. The City note that combined speed and red-light cameras yield a high success rate and have been used successfully in other states of Australia.”

Rationale:

“In a review of the Pedestrian only signal phasing (scramble crossings), it was noted that Tasmanian’s have an unusually high rate of “redlight running” when compared to mainland counterparts. Anecdotal evidence abounds that suggests an increasing frequency of drivers disobeying red signals at intersections all around Hobart.

This is particularly concerning in areas with high pedestrian density and/or vulnerable road users. Cr Posselt wrote to the Transport Minister, Eric Abetz on 7<sup>th</sup> May requesting enforcement action in the form of a speed/redlight camera at the intersection of Macquarie and Elizabeth Street. A response was received on 27<sup>th</sup> June noting that red light running was not a primary contributor to fatal or serious injuries in Tasmania. In the five years between 2017 and 2022, failure to obey signals was a causal factor in just 2.2% of all fatal or serious injury crashes. We contend that given the dispersed nature of the Tasmanian population and the very limited number of traffic signal controlled intersections, that 2.2% is in-fact an over representation. What is more, City liveability and pedestrian comfort are not considered when we only review crash statistics. What is the psychological effect when time and again pedestrians witness vehicles progressing through busy intersections at speed as the green walking man signal appears for them to cross the road?

It limits the users trust in the signal system we have, and this is worsened by the number of pedestrians killed or injured in the Hobart CBD while crossing on a green walking man in recent years. It means that parents won’t trust their children will be able to move independently throughout the city. Equally it means that people with elderly relatives may not be confident with them moving independently through the city either. It results in a less comfortable CBD, where fewer people want to come and where some visitors preference intracity car use over walking.

This is borne out in evidence from Near Data that shows many cruise ship passengers do not cross the couplets of Macquarie and Davey streets to spend time and money in Hobart’s CBD because they view the traffic volumes and driver behaviour as too hostile to negotiate.

This rationale shows that drivers breaking the law are having a negative impact on life in the City of Hobart, decreasing trade, decreasing amenity and decreasing the subjective impression of safety. It is important to note that in the response to the written to Minister Abetz, State Growth was unable to provide/determine the number of red-light runners at Elizabeth Street and Macquarie street due to a lack of data despite the integrated signal control system known as SCATS collecting this data.

Other states have addressed this problem with use of combined red light speed cameras, such as NSW that has implemented this technology at 237 intersections around the state. You can read more about their camera program at the links below.

[www.nsw.gov.au/driving-boating-and-transport/roads-safety-and-rules/safe-driving/speed-limits-and-cameras/red-light-speed-cameras](http://www.nsw.gov.au/driving-boating-and-transport/roads-safety-and-rules/safe-driving/speed-limits-and-cameras/red-light-speed-cameras)

[https://www.transport.nsw.gov.au/system/files/media/documents/2023/automated\\_enforcement\\_strategy.pdf](https://www.transport.nsw.gov.au/system/files/media/documents/2023/automated_enforcement_strategy.pdf)

## Administration Response to Notice of Motion

### Discussion

1. The 'Hobart Transport Strategy 2024', while focusing more on those matters that are part of the responsibilities under the direct control of the City of Hobart, outlines the City of Hobart's support for the Tasmanian Government's 'Towards Zero – Tasmanian Road Safety Strategy 2017-2026'.
2. In particular, the 'Hobart Transport Strategy 2024' supports the Safe System Approach used in the 'Towards Zero' strategy, and its underpinning elements, one of which is "Safe Road Users – encouraging safe behaviour through education, enforcement and regulation".
3. Increased effective enforcement of road rules in the City of Hobart municipal area would be expected to improve compliance with the road rules and reduce the over risk and consequence of crashes.
4. It needs to be acknowledged however that enforcement by individual Tasmania Police officers is a resource intensive activity, and in busy CBD locations, would be problematic in terms of being able to safely and efficiently pull over vehicles and effectively process the infringements.
5. It should also be acknowledged that in terms of enforcing compliance with traffic signals in the Hobart CBD, a significant majority of road users breaching the road rules would be pedestrians who do not always commence their crossing movements while the 'green' pedestrian lantern is operating, and do not always cross within the designated crossing locations.
6. A key direction of the State's 'Towards Zero' strategy is to 'Increase enforcement through technology to reduce speed related serious casualty crashes', and in recent years the State has actioned this through the reintroduction of a number of mobile detection and enforcement trailers and devices that they deploy across the state.
7. These devices would currently be being deployed in locations where in the view of the State Government they would be most effective in reducing the rate of serious and fatal crashes in the state, given the overriding goal of the 'Towards Zero' strategy that has driven their purchase is to reduce the



number of serious and fatal crashes in the state to less than 200 incidents per year.

8. In recent months officers of the City Mobility Unit have been in discussion with officers from the State Government and understand that the state will be releasing a consultation in October 2024 regarding a planned Speed Management Strategy that they are seeking to develop.
9. The current Tasmanian Government 'Towards Zero – Tasmanian Road Safety Strategy 2017-2026', is also expected to be reviewed and updated as we approach the 2026 end date of the current strategy.
10. Council officers will be engaging with representatives of the State Government on the development of these strategies, to ensure that the City of Hobart directions as outlined in the council endorsed 'Hobart Transport Strategy 2024' are considered and addressed in the development of those strategies.
11. Should Council resolve in accordance with the proposed motion, officers will ensure that that motion is actioned by direct correspondence and will include the matters outlined in the motion in any submissions made by the City of Hobart to the State Government on their proposed Speed Management Strategy, and their anticipated renewal of the Tasmanian Road Safety Strategy.
12. It should be noted that the identification and selection of individual junctions for enforcement action, while understandable, would best be determined by the Tasmania Police and Department of State Growth, given their greater level of knowledge about the behaviours and constraints of individual sites. While the City of Hobart may suggest sites that we ask to be priorities, if the Tasmania Police and Department of State Growth are of the view that there are other intersections in the City of Hobart that can be more effectively enforced given constraints on resourcing, we would generally support those decisions.

## Strategic, Legislative and Policy Implications

### Capital City Strategic Plan

Pillar:	<b>PILLAR 5 - MOVEMENT AND CONNECTIVITY</b> We are a city where everyone has effective, safe, healthy and environmentally friendly ways to move and connect, with people, information and goods, and to and through spaces and the natural environment. We are able to maintain a pace of life that allows us to fulfil our needs, such as work, study, business, socialising, recreation, accessing services, shopping, entertainment and spending time with loved ones.
Outcome:	<b>OUTCOME 5.1</b> An accessible and connected city environment helps maintain Hobart's pace of life. <b>OUTCOME 5.2</b>

Strategy:

Hobart has effective and environmentally sustainable transport systems.

OUTCOME 5.3

Technology serves Hobart communities and visitors and enhances quality of life.

5.1.3 Partner with Greater Hobart Councils and state and federal government to explore regional initiatives to improve transport networks.

5.1.4 Collaborate with stakeholders and business on accessible, efficient, sustainable and innovative movement of people, information and goods.

5.1.6 Investigate transport and technology possibilities that meet the needs of daily life, are accessible, and reinforce values of sustainability and connection.

5.1.8 Consider children and family needs in city mobility planning.

5.2.6 Identify and implement infrastructure improvements to enhance access and road safety and reduce air and noise pollution.

5.2.7 Develop, upgrade and maintain the City's network of roads, bridges, cycleways, footpaths and walkways to ensure they are safe, accessible and sustainable.

5.3.1 Use technology, including in assets and new civil infrastructure, to respond to challenges and enhance quality of life, in line with the community vision.

5.3.2 Embrace opportunities to use innovative technologies to support and manage transport networks and help us achieve the vision of being a vibrant and sustainable city.

5.3.3 Respond to the current trends and future opportunities of the smart cities concept and associated technologies.

5.3.6 Test the benefits of emerging technologies, providing insights for strategic, operational and legislative change.

Legislation and Policy

Legislation: Not applicable

Policy: Not applicable

## Financial Implications

1. The preparation of correspondence to, and any subsequent discussions with, the Transport Minister, Department of State Growth and Tasmania Police would have no direct financial impact on the City of Hobart.

LOHBERGER  
POSSELT

That the motion be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

### VOTING RECORD

#### AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Kitsos

#### NOES

Kelly  
Elliot  
Bloomfield  
Coats

### **COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. The City of Hobart write to the Transport Minister, Department of State Growth and Tasmania Police requesting regular enforcement action of red-light compliance on the intersections listed below:
  - a. Macquarie/Elizabeth
  - b. Macquarie/Murray
  - c. Davey/Campbell
  - d. Davey/Elizabeth
  - e. Davey/Murray
  - f. Risdon/New Town
2. The City note that combined speed and red-light cameras yield a high success rate and have been used successfully in other states of Australia.

Item 23 was then taken.

The Deputy Lord Mayor declared an interest in the item and left the meeting at 4:13pm.

**22. Advocacy for UTAS Sandy Bay Campus**  
**File Ref: F24/88813**

---

Motion:

“That the Council:

1. Note that the University of Tasmania is (outside of the State Government) the biggest economic actor in the City of Hobart, and that a thriving and successful University is critical to the success of the City, both economically and socially.
2. Note the Elector Poll result from October 2022 in which the constituents of the City of Hobart voted 74% that they *do {Not} support the University of Tasmania’s proposal to relocate the Sandy Bay campus into Hobart’s central business district.*
3. Note that the School of Law has announced it will not relocate from Sandy Bay, while acknowledging that the existing facilities and campus are ageing and require rejuvenation and investment.
4. Note that there is a strong desire from students and staff for the STEM faculties to remain at the Sandy Bay campus, and that the University announced in May it would reassess if STEM was to move into the City, while acknowledging that the existing facilities and campus are ageing and require rejuvenation and investment.
5. Note that the Sandy Bay campus has been deprived of funding, is ageing and requires rejuvenation and investment.
6. Note that the City of Hobart takes no position on UTAS moving into the city and respects the autonomy of UTAS for its decision making; however, like all organisations seeks to encourage the University to act in the best interests of the community.
7. Note that under the *Local Government Act 1993*, section 28(2)c, councillors are; “*to facilitate and encourage the planning and development of the municipal area in the best interests of the community;*”
8. Note that the University of Tasmania Sandy Bay site is a unique and unmatched community asset gifted for educational purposes and that it needs to be planned and developed in the best interests of the community.
9. Agrees to include these points as position statements and advocacy talking points in any formal advocacy process and/or document produced by the City of Hobart.

10. Agrees to publicly call for UTAS to adequately invest in and support the Sandy Bay Campus.”

Rationale:

“This motion does not seek to take a position on the UTAS move into the city and given the debate that has already occurred does not seek to redebate that point.

Instead, this motion simply points out that circumstances have changed since the elector poll in 2022 and that there are now parts of the University that have committed to either staying at Sandy Bay or reassessing if they will move away from Sandy Bay.

The law school is the best example of this with the Dean of the Law School professor Gino Del Pont announcing in April that the law school would be staying in Sandy Bay.

Given that the local government act stipulates that Councillors, collectively, have a responsibility to *facilitate and encourage the planning and development of the municipal area in the best interests of the community*, it is incumbent on councillors to encourage that the Sandy Bay campus be appropriately planned and developed.

The City of Hobart is an influential voice in any advocacy work surrounding the development of Hobart and, being a capital city, regularly meets with Federal and State Ministers, development and regulatory bodies as well as influential stakeholder groups.

We should be unafraid of encouraging development in the best interest of the community and in this instance take an active stance in encouraging a successful tenure for those elements of the University that are to be conducted from the Sandy Bay Campus.

It is entirely consistent with the goals of the City of Hobart to use our voice and advocate on behalf of the Sandy Bay campus to ensure it is as successful as possible for the elements of the University based there and to encourage investment and development of the Sandy Bay Campus for the betterment of the city.

A thriving and successful University is critical to a thriving and successful City of Hobart, and we need to be upfront in helping to advocate for, and support, the university in investing in and rejuvenating the Sandy Bay campus, especially now we know that there will be components staying there permanently.

We know that the University is struggling financially, and so when the council is meeting with state or federal decision makers and funding bodies, we need to be clear that investment to support a thriving sandy bay campus is needed to support this critical institution for our city and is something the city supports notwithstanding having no position on the city move.

We also need to call on the University to ensure that they adequately invest in and support the Sandy Bay campus so it doesn't fall further into poor condition.

## Administration Response to Notice of Motion

### Discussion

1. Over the past year or so, work has commenced on preparing a Neighbourhood Plan for Mount Nelson and Sandy Bay. This plan is aimed at guiding the sustainable growth and development of this area over the course of a 20-year planning horizon, to 2044.
2. The first step of the project was undertaken in 2023 and involved an extensive engagement program to consult key stakeholders and the community regarding various issues and opportunities outlined in a Discussion Paper.
3. Council was then advised at the 29 April 2024 meeting on the outcomes of the engagement process and that the next step involved with progressing the plan would be preparing a Directions paper.
4. This paper will examine a range of different scenarios to guide the future redevelopment of the UTAS Sandy Bay campus including the substantial retention of an educational use on the site.
5. Another key component of the Directions Paper will be a detailed report analysing the capacity of both the Sandy Bay and Lower Sandy Bay Activity (Shopping) Centres to accommodate medium density development in the form of a Built Form Framework and Guidelines.
6. Additionally, in response to community feedback regarding heritage protection, Council has engaged heritage consultants to investigate the current gaps in the statutory historic heritage listings in the study area and examine options for their ongoing future management.
7. It is expected that the Directions Paper will be presented to Council in early 2025 and will subsequently be placed on public exhibition to seek feedback from the community and key stakeholders.
8. This paper will then inform the recommendations to be included in the draft Neighbourhood Plan that will be developed in the later part of 2025.9. Any advocacy that the Council may undertake in relation to funding for the Sandy Bay campus for example would be more effective were it to align with the endorsed Neighbourhood Plan and any corresponding investment by UTAS in the campus.

### Strategic, Legislative and Policy Implications

#### Capital City Strategic Plan

Pillar: 7.1.7. Pillar 7. Built Environment

Outcome: Outcome: 7.1 Hobart has a diverse supply of housing and affordable homes.

Outcome: 7.2 Development enhances Hobart's unique identity,

human scale and built heritage.

Outcome: 7.3 Infrastructure and services are planned, managed and maintained to provide for community wellbeing.

Outcome: 7.4 Community involvement and an understanding of future needs help guide changes to Hobart's built environment

Strategy: Hobart: A community Vision for our Island Capital

Legislation and Policy

Legislation: *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*

Policy: The State Government's 30-Year Greater Hobart Plan 2022 and 30-Year Greater Hobart Plan: Strategy for Growth and Change 2022.

The Southern Tasmanian Regional Land Use Strategy.

Financial Implications

1. Funding for Neighbourhood Plan has been allocated within the 24/25 budget for the City Futures Divisional.
2. The Neighbourhood Plan will outline a strategic approach to implementing its recommendations that may require funding in future years.
3. The financial implications for Council assets will be addressed in the implementation plan phase of the project for consideration in future years.

COATS

BLOOMFIELD

That the motion be adopted, as amended by the addition of the following two clauses:

11. *Notes that in Launceston UTAS received significant federal and state funding to upgrade their buildings and infrastructure.*
12. *Agrees to advocate for UTAS to receive federal and state funding to upgrade facilities and buildings at Sandy Bay as part of creating a new world class STEM Hub at the Sandy Bay campus.*

### **Amendment**

DUTTA  
POSSELT

That clauses 9 and 10 of the motion be replaced with the following clause:

9. *The Council agrees to advocate for a Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) hub at the existing University of Tasmania Sandy Bay campus with a focus on developing a world class precinct. Advocacy could include working with the University of Tasmania, as well*

*as the research, innovation and technology sectors and Department of Education to identify a vision plan for the precinct with associated funding plan.*

AMENDMENT LOST

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Kelly
Harvey	Elliot
Dutta	Bloomfield
Posselt	Lohberger
Kitsos	Coats

**PROCEDURAL MOTION**

POSSELT  
HARVEY

That the item be deferred until the Sandy Bay Neighbourhood Plan has been adopted.

PROCEDURAL MOTION  
LOST

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Harvey	Lord Mayor Reynolds
Dutta	Kelly
Posselt	Elliot
	Bloomfield
	Lohberger
	Coats
	Kitsos

MOTION CARRIED



VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Harvey
Kelly	Dutta
Elliot	Posselt
Bloomfield	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That the Council:

1. Note that the University of Tasmania is (outside of the State Government) the biggest economic actor in the City of Hobart, and that a thriving and successful University is critical to the success of the City, both economically and socially.
2. Note the Elector Poll result from October 2022 in which the constituents of the City of Hobart voted 74% that they *do {Not} support the University of Tasmania's proposal to relocate the Sandy Bay campus into Hobart's central business district.*
3. Note that the School of Law has announced it will not relocate from Sandy Bay, while acknowledging that the existing facilities and campus are ageing and require rejuvenation and investment.
4. Note that there is a strong desire from students and staff for the STEM faculties to remain at the Sandy Bay campus, and that the University announced in May it would reassess if STEM was to move into the City, while acknowledging that the existing facilities and campus are ageing and require rejuvenation and investment.
5. Note that the Sandy Bay campus has been deprived of funding, is ageing and requires rejuvenation and investment.
6. Note that the City of Hobart takes no position on UTAS moving into the city and respects the autonomy of UTAS for its decision making; however, like all organisations seeks to encourage the University to act in the best interests of the community.
7. Note that under the *Local Government Act 1993*, section 28(2)c, councillors are; *"to facilitate and encourage the planning and development of the municipal area in the best interests of the community;"*

8. Note that the University of Tasmania Sandy Bay site is a unique and unmatched community asset gifted for educational purposes and that it needs to be planned and developed in the best interests of the community.
9. Agrees to include these points as position statements and advocacy talking points in any formal advocacy process and/or document produced by the City of Hobart.
10. Agrees to publicly call for UTAS to adequately invest in and support the Sandy Bay Campus.
11. Notes that in Launceston UTAS received significant federal and state funding to upgrade their buildings and infrastructure.
12. Agrees to advocate for UTAS to receive federal and state funding to upgrade facilities and buildings at Sandy Bay as part of creating a new world class STEM Hub at the Sandy Bay campus.

Item 11 was then taken.

**23. RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE**  
**File Ref: F24/89381**

---

The Council is reminded that in accordance with Regulation 29(3) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Chairperson is not to allow discussion or debate on either the question or the response.

SHERLOCK  
KITSOS

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 23 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Kelly  
Elliot  
Bloomfield  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Coats  
Kitsos

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That the following responses to questions without notice be received and noted:

**23.1 kunanyi / Mt Wellington – Cost Savings**

---

Memorandum of the Acting Director City Life 9 September 2024.

**23.2 kunanyi / Mt Wellington – National Park**

---

Memorandum of the Chief Executive Officer of 9 October 2024.

**23.3 Dinner Break**

---

Memorandum of the Chief Executive Officer of 9 October 2024.

**23.4 Enterprise Agreement Negotiations**

---

Memorandum of the Director City Enablers of 9 October 2024.

**23.5 Transport Strategy 2024**

---

Memorandum of the Director City Futures of 9 October 2024.

**24. QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE**

**File Ref: F24/81378**

---

Regulation 29 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.  
File Ref: 13-1-10

**24.1 Councillor Coats - Heritage Officers Review of UTAS Buildings**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: In respect to the Sandy Bay UTAS Campus being reviewed by Heritage Officers, could the Director please advise:

- (i) Has a Heritage Officer been appointed to review the Sandy Bay UTAS buildings;
- (ii) What work is being undertaken;
- (iii) When will this work be reported back;
- (iv) Will Elected Members be provided with any assessment of the heritage values prior to the Sandy Bay Neighbourhood Plan being released in 2025; and;
- (v) A summary of works undertaken and timeframe?

Answer: The Acting Director City Futures advised that the scope of the works was to review the heritage values of Sandy Bay / Mt Nelson, together with the Campus. The review has been received in draft form and intend to report back to the Council as part of the directions paper early 2025. This will include the final recommendations within the Neighbourhood Plan, expected to be released at the end of 2025.

**24.2 Councillor Coats – Hobart Transport Strategy - Short Term Immediate Program – E-Bikes**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Why was the funding ask in respect to the short term immediate program in relation to e-bikes as part of 6.4 of the Hobart Transport Strategy, not included as part of the advocacy document which Council has just endorsed?

Answer: The Lord Mayor advised that grant opportunities arise regularly, with staff moving on these opportunities based on the themes of which Council has adopted, which may have quite tight deadlines. The Chief Executive Officer further advised that there are a range of priorities that the Council has that are not all included in the advocacy document, such as the Annual Plan, strategies and when we find opportunities for Council actions to be funded, the City will seek this as a matter of course.

**24.3 Councillor Coats - E-Bike Library**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Has the Council decided that it will operate an e-bike library, by way of short term leasing of e-bikes?

Answer: The Acting Director City Futures advised it is not the City's intention to be running that form of business, however there is potentially an opportunity within the private sector who may have the ability to undertake this.

**24.4 Councillor Posselt - Internal Steering Committee - Hobart Transport Strategy**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Is it of my understanding that there is an Internal Steering Committee formed to assist with the implementations of the Hobart Transport Strategy?

Answer: The Acting Director City Futures advised that it is the City's intention to form a Steering Committee, for which the City is in the process of doing so. The City has also set up a Sub-Committee in respect to the Parking Implementation Plan.

**24.5 Councillor Elliott - Investigation Outcome**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: In September 2023, the Council forwarded correspondence to the Director of Local Government and the Anti-Discrimination Commissioner requesting that I be investigated. Could you please advise if the Council has been provided with responses from the Director of Local Government and Anti-Discrimination Commissioner, as the community have been left hanging in regards to the outcome?

Answer: The Manager Legal and Corporate Governance advised that yes, responses from three parties have been received and it is proposed that the responses are brought back to Council collectively. It was further advised that it was expected that the responses would be provided to Elected Members via the Hub by the end of the week.

**24.6 Councillor Elliot - Closure of Matters in Public Forum**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: As the direction in respect to the correspondence was requested in a public forum, could you please advise if it is possible to bring the responses back to an open forum for completeness and closure?

Answer: The Manager Legal and Governance took the question on notice.

**24.7 Councillor Kelly - The City as Planning Authority**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: The Council as Planning Authority which has the function to assess development applications, particularly large developments concerned with the proposed legislation in respect to being able to bypass Council and have the application assessed by independent non-political experts?

Answer: The Acting Director City Life advised that the City has been provided with draft legislation in respect to the Development Assessment Panel. When this legislation was first proposed, the City did have a Council endorsed position which was not to support the formation of the Development Assessment Panel. Now that we do have the proposed legislation, the City will review and provide to Elected Members for feedback.

**24.8 Councillor Kelly - Feedback - Development Appraisal Panel**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Could the Acting Director City Life please advise if there is a deadline for the Council to provide feedback in respect to the legislation in respect to the Development Appraisal Panel?

Answer: The Acting Director City Life advised that feedback would need to be received by 11 November 2024.

**24.9 Lord Mayor Reynolds - Recirculation of the DAP**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Given the interest in the matter of the Development Appraisal Panel, would it be possible for the recirculation of the DAP, together with the Council's submission to Elected Members?

Answer: The Acting Director City Life advised, yes this would be possible.

**24.10 Councillor Dutta - Decisions of the Planning Authority**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Could clarification please be given in respect to the ability to challenge and appeal decisions made by the new Development Assessment Panel?

Answer: The Acting Director City Life advised that she has not yet thoroughly reviewed that legislation, however it is of her understanding that no, the decision of the proposed new Development Assessment Panel would not be able to be challenged nor appealed as it would be a one stop process.

**24.11 Lord Mayor Reynolds - DAP v's Planning Authority**  
**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Could the Acting Director City Life please advise if the Developer would have the choice to go down the DAP or Planning Authority path?

Answer: The Acting Director City Life took the question on notice.

#### **24.12 Councillor Harvey - Housing Crisis**

**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Could the City write to the Business Council of Australia, that from a City of Hobart perspective, that the Council has not created the housing crisis due to not approving development applications?

Answer: The Chief Executive Officer advised that he was not aware of the background to the comments made by the Business Council of Australia, however the CEO advised that he would be happy to investigate into the matter and prepare a response accordingly.

#### **24.13 Councillor Dutta - Planning Application Decisions**

**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: Could the Acting Director City Life or the Chief Executive Officer please clarify that the majority of planning decisions are made by the City's Development Appraisal staff?

In addition to this, if a planning decision is made by the Planning Authority, could the Director please advise if these decisions can be appealed if the Applicant is in disagreement?

Answer: The Acting Director City Life confirmed that the majority of decisions are made by the City's staff under the appropriate delegation. Furthermore if an Applicant and/or Representor are not happy with the decision which has been made by staff and/or the Planning Authority, the decision can be appealed.

#### **24.14 Councillor Coats - Transport Signage Throughout the City of Hobart**

**File Ref: 13-1-10**

---

Question: As part of the Hobart Transport Strategy, Action 61 outlines improved signage within the CBD to encourage more efficient vehicle movements has been installed across the CBD, with overall reporting over the entire Strategy. Therefore would it be possible to be provided with interim reporting back to Elected Members to see if this has been successful and/or the impact since implementation?

Answer: The Acting Director City Futures took the question on notice.

**BUSINESS ARISING**

**25. Questions Taken on Notice During Debate**  
**File Ref: F24/89609**

---

POSSELT  
COATS

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as item 25 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Kelly  
Elliot  
Bloomfield  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Coats  
Kitsos

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That the register of questions arising during debate, marked as Attachment A, to item 25 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024 be received and noted.

Supplementary item 27 was then taken.



## 26. CLOSED PORTION OF THE MEETING

---

That the Council resolve by absolute majority that the meeting be closed to the public pursuant to regulation 15(1) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* because the items included on the closed agenda contain the following matters:

- Minutes of a Closed Meeting
- Leave of Absence
- Information of a confidential nature

The following items were discussed:-

Item No. 1	Minutes of the last meeting of the Closed Portion of the Council Meeting
Item No. 2	Communication from the Chairman
Item No. 3	Leave of Absence
Item No. 4	Consideration of supplementary Items to the agenda
Item No. 5	Indications of pecuniary and conflicts of interest
Item No. 6	Outstanding Sundry Debts as at 30 June 2024 LG(MP)R 15(2)(g)
Item No. 7	QUESTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE LG(MP)R 15(2)(g)

SHERLOCK  
LOHBERGER

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED BY  
ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

### VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

**SUPPLEMENTARY ITEM**

**27. Collins Street Tactical Bicycle Infrastructure Trial - Contingency Funding  
File Ref: F24/90339**

---

KITSOS  
HARVEY

That the recommendation contained within the officer report, marked as supplementary item 27 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024, be adopted.

SHERLOCK  
ELLIOT

That Alderman Bloomfield be granted an extra two minutes to address the item.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

SHERLOCK  
COATS

That Councillor Elliot be granted an extra one minute to address the item.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Kelly	
Elliot	
Bloomfield	
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Coats	
Kitsos	

SHERLOCK  
BLOOMFIELD

That Councillor Coats be granted an extra one minute to address the item.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Kelly
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock	Elliot
Harvey	Bloomfield
Dutta	Coats
Posselt	
Lohberger	
Kitsos	

POSSELT  
LOHBERGER

That Councillor Dutta be granted an additional three minutes to address the item.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Kelly  
Elliot  
Bloomfield  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Coats  
Kitsos

SHERLOCK  
ELLIOT

That Councillor Kelly be granted an additional three minutes to address the item.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds  
Deputy Lord Mayor Sherlock  
Harvey  
Dutta  
Kelly  
Elliot  
Bloomfield  
Posselt  
Lohberger  
Coats  
Kitsos

**COUNCIL RESOLUTION:**

That:

1. The Council note:
  - (i) pursuant to part 2 of Regulation 8(6), advice from the State Government in relation to the Collins Street Tactical Bicycle Infrastructure Trial funding, was received subsequent to the distribution of the agenda.
  - (ii) the matter is considered urgent as works in respect of the Collins Street Tactical Bicycle Infrastructure Trial need to be carried out prior to the next ordinary Council meeting in order to accord with the Council decision of 16 September 2024.
  - (iii) advice, pursuant to Section 65 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, has been provided within the report marked at supplementary item 27 of the Open Council Agenda of 14 October 2024.
2. The Council agrees to re-allocate \$170,000 from the 2024-25 Capital Work Contingency funds for the purpose of fully funding the Collins Street Tactical Bicycle Infrastructure Trial.

Item 26 was then taken.

There being no further business the Open portion of the meeting closed at 7:27pm.

TAKEN AS READ AND SIGNED AS  
A CORRECT RECORD THIS  
11<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF NOVEMBER 2024.

---

**CHAIRPERSON**