



CITY OF HOBART

MINUTES

OPEN PORTION
MONDAY, 1 APRIL 2019
AT 5:03 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBER, TOWN HALL



ORDER OF BUSINESS

PRESENT, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

1. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES.....	3
2. TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS.....	4
3. COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIRMAN.....	4
4. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS.....	5
5. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME.....	5
6. PETITIONS.....	7
7. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS.....	7
8. INDICATIONS OF PECUNIARY AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.....	8
REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.....	8

CITY PLANNING COMMITTEE

9. COUNCIL ACTING AS PLANNING AUTHORITY.....	8
9.1 1 Nixon Street, Sandy Bay - Partial Demolition, Alterations and Extension (Re-Advertised - Amended Proposal).....	8

MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

10. Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority - Membership.....	19
11. Projected Population and Economic Growth Model.....	21
12. Exploration of New International Relationships.....	23

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE

13. International Relations - Potential Visits to Sister / Friendship Cities in the Current Term of Elected Members.....	26
14. The Citys Role in Recognising Hobart as an Antarctic Gateway.....	26
15. Connected Hobart - Smart City Framework and Action Plan.....	28
16. 2019-20 Fees and Charges - Tasmanian Travel and Information Centre.....	29
17. CLOSED PORTION OF THE MEETING.....	30

PRESENT:

The Lord Mayor Councillor A M Reynolds, the Deputy Lord Mayor Councillor H Burnet, Aldermen J R Briscoe, Dr P T Sexton, T M Denison, Councillor W F Harvey, Alderman S Behrakis, Councillors M S C Dutta, H Ewin and Z Sherlock.

APOLOGIES:

Alderman M Zucco.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE:

Alderman D C Thomas.

Councillor Dutta left the meeting at 6:24 pm, returning at 6:28 pm.

1. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

The Chairman reports that she has perused the minutes of the meeting of the Open Portion of the Council meeting held on [Monday, 18 March 2019](#), finds them to be a true record and recommends that they be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

BURNET
HARVEY

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Briscoe
Sexton
Denison
Harvey
Behrakis
Dutta
Ewin
Sherlock

The minutes were signed.

2. TRANSFER OF AGENDA ITEMS

Are there any items, which the meeting believes, should be transferred from this agenda to the closed agenda or from the closed agenda to the open agenda, in accordance with the procedures allowed under Section 15 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*?

No items were transferred.

3. COMMUNICATION FROM THE CHAIRMAN

3.1 10 Year Anniversary of the L'Aquila Earthquake

The Lord Mayor acknowledged the 10 year anniversary of the devastating L'Aquila earthquake.

The City and L'Aquila have shared a Sister City friendship for over 20 years. As a sign of respect for the on-going plight of the sister city, the Lord mayor proposes that the City sends a letter of remembrance directly to the Mayor of L'Aquila, Mayor Pierluigi Biondi.

HARVEY
DUTTA

That a letter of remembrance be sent directly to the Mayor of L'Aquila, Mayor Pierluigi Biondi.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Briscoe
Sexton
Denison
Harvey
Behrakis
Dutta
Ewin
Sherlock

3.2 Local Government Association Tasmania – 29 March 2019

The Lord Mayor reported on her attendance at the Local Government Association Tasmania (LGAT) general meeting on Friday 29 March and informed the meeting on the outcome of two motions that were discussed:

- City of Hobart motion: *'That LGAT lobby the Australian Government to change the date of Australia Day'* – was lost.
- A Brighton Council motion regarding charitable rates exemptions resulted in a unanimous decision for Councils to work together to identify ways to deal with the loss of rates revenue as a result of the Southern Cross Homes court decision, and to and develop a working group to look at options to amend the *Local Government Act 1993*.

4. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS

In accordance with the requirements of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Acting General Manager reports that the following Council workshops have been conducted since the last ordinary meeting of the Council.

Date: 26 March 2019
Purpose: Public Art Framework

5. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

5.1 Mr Ben Lohberger – UTAS Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sister City Relationships

Mr Lohberger put the following question and was provided with the corresponding response from the Lord Mayor.

Question:

Two weeks ago the Lord Mayor attended a meeting of Mayors to discuss the urgent issue of climate change. At the same time school children from across Hobart attended a climate strike to express their concerns about the looming climate crisis that's being driven by excessive greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

Does the HCC have any concerns about the huge and unnecessary GHG emissions that will occur if UTAS abandons most of its Sandy Bay campus, and then demolishes existing commercial buildings in Hobart before replacing them with new buildings constructed largely out of concrete?

Response:

The University of Tasmania has not determined its future facility strategy at this stage, however, if it was determined that it should relocate the majority of its teaching facilities into the CBD, then it is not clear what the greenhouse gas emission (GGE) implications would be as compared to rebuilding on its current footprint. The loss of imbedded energy from demolishing buildings needs to be considered along with the GGE from the operation of buildings along with the difference in emissions from accessing the campus either in Sandy Bay or the CBD. A more holistic assessment of the two options so far as GGE are concerned would be more meaningful.

Mr Lohberger then asked a supplementary question which was taken on notice:

In December 2017 the Council resolved to investigate the value of Sister City relationships . Sixteen months have now passed and the Council is now debating new Sister City relationships.

What has happened to that 2017 investigation and when will it be completed?

Response provided:

Council on 4 December 2017 resolved inter alia:

That:

Council endorse, in principle, the project proposed by Alderman Thomas to generate a robust framework measuring of the value of international relationships, derived from an extensive survey of international cities.

- (i) A further report be provided to Council to further scope the project and to provide detailed costings

Council endorse Alderman Thomas' attendance of the Sister Cities Australia AGM and forum on 8 December 2017 to promote the project to the network and seek research and resource opportunities.

Alderman Thomas did meet with Sister Cities Australia who pledged their in principle support for the project, contingent on the project being deferred until after the City of Hobart elections in October 2018.

An update was taken to Council on 4 June 2018 in the form of a memorandum and Council resolved:

That:

The Council support the deferral of this project until after the City of Hobart elections in October 2018 when a report will be provided to Council to further scope the project and to provide detailed costings.

A report was taken to Economic Development and Communications Committee on 21 February 2019 that scoped and provided costings for a project. Committee resolved:

That:

The item be deferred to enable further investigation into what other key organisations such as RMIT and ACELG have done in terms of considering the measurement of the value of international relationships in the last 5 years and this be reported back to the Committee.

A memorandum will be brought to Economic Development and Communications Committee in May 2019 communicating the outcomes of the investigation into what other organisations are doing in this space.

It must be noted that the City of Hobart has a measurement system for the value of International relationships that it reports against annually. The proposed project is seeking to improve upon what Council currently does.

6. PETITIONS

No petitions were received.

7. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS

Ref: Part 2, Regulation 8(6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

RECOMMENDATION

That the Council resolve to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the General Manager in accordance with the provisions of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

No supplementary items were received.

8. INDICATIONS OF PECUNIARY AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Ref: Part 2, Regulation 8(7) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

Elected Members are requested to indicate where they may have any pecuniary or conflicts of interest in respect to any matter appearing on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda, which the Council has resolved to deal with.

No interest was indicated.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

CITY PLANNING COMMITTEE

9. COUNCIL ACTING AS PLANNING AUTHORITY

In accordance with the provisions of Part 2 Regulation 25 of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the intention of the Council to act as a planning authority pursuant to the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* is to be noted.

In accordance with Regulation 25, the Council will act as a planning authority in respect to those matters appearing under this heading on the agenda, inclusive of any supplementary items.

The Council is reminded that in order to comply with Regulation 25(2), the Acting General Manager is to ensure that the reasons for a decision by a Council or Council Committee acting as a planning authority are recorded in the minutes.

9.1 1 Nixon Street, Sandy Bay - Partial Demolition, Alterations and Extension (Re-Advertised - Amended Proposal) PLN-18-869 - File Ref: F19/30025

Ref: Open [CPC 7.1.3](#), 25/03/2019
Application Expiry Date: 1 April 2019
Extension of Time: Not applicable

That pursuant to the *Hobart Interim Planning Scheme 2015*, the Council approve the application for partial demolition, alterations and extension (re-advertised – amended proposal) at 1 Nixon Street, Sandy Bay for the reasons outlined in the officer's report attached to item 7.1.3 of the Open City Planning Committee agenda of 25 March 2019 and a permit containing the following conditions be issued:

GEN

The use and/or development must be substantially in accordance with the documents and drawings that comprise PLN-18-869 - 1 NIXON STREET SANDY BAY TAS 7005 - Final Planning Documents except where modified below.

Reason for condition

To clarify the scope of the permit.

PLN 2

Screening with no more than 25% uniform transparency and a minimum height of 1.5m above finished surface level, must be installed and maintained along the southern edge of the deck on the upper floor of the addition prior to the first occupation.

Prior to the issue of any approval under the *Building Act 2016*, revised plans must be submitted and approved showing screening in accordance with the above requirement.

All work required by this condition must be undertaken in accordance with the approved revised plans.

Reason for condition

To provide reasonable opportunity for privacy for dwellings.

ENG sw1

All stormwater from the proposed development (including but not limited to: roofed areas, ag drains, retaining wall ag drains and impervious surfaces such as driveways and paved areas) must be drained to the Council's stormwater infrastructure prior to first occupation or commencement of use (whichever occurs first).

Reason for condition

To ensure that stormwater from the site will be discharged to a suitable Council approved outlet.

ENG sw4

The new storm water connection must be constructed and existing abandoned connections sealed by the Council at the owner's expense, prior to the first occupation.

Detailed engineering drawings must be submitted and approved, prior to commencement of work. The detailed engineering drawings must include:

1. The location of the proposed connection; and
2. The size of the connection appropriate to satisfy the needs of the development.

All work required by this condition must be undertaken in accordance with the approved detailed engineering drawings.

Advice:

- *The applicant is advised to submit detailed engineering drawings via a Council City Infrastructure Division [application for a new stormwater connection](#). If detailed design to satisfy this condition is submitted via the planning condition endorsement process there may be fees associated with the assessment, and once approved the applicant will still need to submit an application for a new stormwater connection with Council City Infrastructure Division.*
- *Where building / plumbing approval is also required, it is recommended that documentation to satisfy this condition is submitted well before submitting documentation for building/plumbing approval. Failure to address planning condition requirements prior to submitting for building/plumbing approval may result in unexpected delays.*

Reason for condition

To ensure the site is drained adequately.

ENG 3a

The access driveway and parking module (parking spaces, aisles and manoeuvring area) must be designed and constructed in accordance with Australian Standard AS/NZS2890.1:2004 (including the requirement for vehicle safety barriers where required).

Advice:

- *It is advised that designers consider the detailed design of the access and parking module prior to finalising the Finished Floor Level (FFL) of the parking spaces (especially if located within a garage incorporated into the dwelling), as failure to do so may result in difficulty complying with this condition.*

Reason for condition

To ensure the safety of users of the access and parking module, and compliance with the relevant Australian Standard.

ENG 4

The access driveway and parking module (car parking spaces, aisles and manoeuvring area) approved by this permit must be constructed to a sealed standard (spray seal, asphalt, concrete, pavers or equivalent Council approved) and surface drained to the Council's stormwater infrastructure prior to the first occupation.

Reason for condition

To ensure the safety of users of the access driveway and parking module, and that it does not detract from the amenity of users, adjoining occupiers or the environment by preventing dust, mud and sediment transport.

ENG 1

Any damage to council infrastructure resulting from the implementation of this permit, must, at the discretion of the Council:

1. Be met by the owner by way of reimbursement (cost of repair and reinstatement to be paid by the owner to the Council); or
2. Be repaired and reinstated by the owner to the satisfaction of the Council.

A photographic record of the Council's infrastructure adjacent to the subject site must be provided to the Council prior to any commencement of works.

A photographic record of the Council's infrastructure (e.g. existing property service connection points, roads, buildings, stormwater, footpaths, driveway crossovers and nature strips, including if any, pre-existing damage) will be relied upon to establish the extent of damage caused to the Council's infrastructure during construction. In the event that the owner/developer fails to provide to the Council a photographic record of the Council's infrastructure, then any damage to the Council's infrastructure found on completion of works will be deemed to be the responsibility of the owner.

Reason for condition

To ensure that any of the Council's infrastructure and/or site-related service connections affected by the proposal will be altered and/or reinstated at the owner's full cost.

ENG 13

The fence and gate at the vehicular access to the subject property from Queen Street must allow adequate sight distance between user vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians.

Amended drawings must be submitted and approved, prior to the commencement of work. The amended drawing must demonstrate how the fence either side of the driveway/s provides for adequate sight distance between user vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians by one of the following methods:

1. Compliance with Australian/NZ Standard, Parking facilities Part 1: Off-street car parking AS/NZS 2890.1: 2004 Fig 3.3;
2. Increasing the gate width of the driveway(s) to 5m;
3. Increasing the transparency of fencing for at least 1m on each side of driveway/s so that those sections of fencing are largely transparent; or
4. Setting driveway(s) gates back 1m from front fence line to achieve increased sight lines.

All work required by this condition must be undertaken in accordance with the approved drawings.

Advice:

- *The applicant may submit amended drawings to satisfy this condition as either part of a Building Application, or alternatively via Council's planning condition endorsement process (noting there is a fee associated with condition endorsement approval of engineering drawings [see general advice on how to obtain condition endorsement and for fees and charges]).*
- *Failure to address condition requirements prior to submitting for building approval may result in unexpected delays.*

Reason for condition

To ensure the safety of vehicles entering and leaving the development and of pedestrians and traffic in the vicinity.

ENV 1

Sediment and erosion control measures sufficient to prevent sediment from leaving the site must be installed prior to any disturbance of the site, and maintained until all areas of disturbance have been stabilized or re-vegetated.

Advice: For further guidance in preparing a Soil and Water Management Plan – in accordance with Fact sheet 3 Derwent Estuary Program click [here](#).

Reason for condition

To avoid the sedimentation of roads, drains, natural watercourses, Council land that could be caused by erosion and runoff from the development, and to comply with relevant State legislation.

HER 11

The proposal must be revised in accordance with the following:

- The ridge of the rear extension must be reduced in height and set below the ridge height of the existing house by a minimum of 300mm.
- The proposed:
 - Fenestration pattern of the ground floor of the extension must be a combination of fixed and operable windows and doors with vertical proportions;

- Skylight windows must be reduced in size; and
- Fenestration pattern must reflect the sketch design floor plan and north and south elevations dated 26 February 2019 and submitted to Council on 5 March 2019.
- The external cladding of the garage and lower portion of the extension must be rendered cement sheet and reflect the sketch design for the floor plan and north, east, and south elevations dated 26 February 2019 and submitted to the Council on 5 March 2019.
- The garage and workshop must be separated from the two storey extension by a recessed wall that is at least 400mm wide, set back behind the front wall of the dining/living area by at least 300mm and be lower in height than the roof of the proposed garage by no less than 350mm as reflected in the sketch design for the floor plan and north elevation dated 26 February 2019 and submitted to the Council on 5 March 2019.
- Specifying the exact colour of the Colorbond roof of the existing house (a light/mid grey) and noting that the roof extension will match that colour.
- The roof over the stairs to the upper level of the extension must be a separate roof element set below the eaves as reflected in the sketch design for the south and east elevation dated 26 February 2019 and submitted to the Council on 5 March 2019.

Prior to the issue of any approval under the *Building Act 2016*, revised plans must be submitted and approved by Council showing the proposal to be revised in accordance with the above requirements.

All work required by this condition must be undertaken in accordance with the approved revised plans.

Reason for condition

To ensure that development at a heritage place and in a heritage precinct is undertaken in a sympathetic manner which does not cause loss of historic cultural heritage significance.

ADVICE

The following advice is provided to you to assist in the implementation of the planning permit that has been issued subject to the conditions above. The advice is not exhaustive and you must inform yourself of any other legislation, by-laws, regulations, codes or standards that will apply to your development under which you may need to obtain an approval. Visit the Council's [website](#) for further information.

Prior to any commencement of work on the site or commencement of use the following additional permits/approval may be required from the Hobart City Council.

CONDITION ENDORSEMENT ENGINEERING

All engineering drawings required to be submitted and approved by this planning permit must be submitted to the City of Hobart as a CEP (Condition Endorsement) via the City's [Online Service Development Portal](#). When lodging a CEP, please reference the PLN number of the associated Planning Application. Each CEP must also include an estimation of the cost of works shown on the submitted engineering drawings. Once that estimation has been confirmed by the City's Engineer, the following fees are payable for each CEP submitted and must be paid prior to the City of Hobart commencing assessment of the engineering drawings in each CEP:

Value of Building Works Approved by Planning Permit Fee:

- Up to \$20,000: \$150 per application.
- Over \$20,000: 2% of the value of the works as assessed by the City's Engineer per assessment.

These fees are additional to building and plumbing fees charged under the Building and Plumbing Regulations.

Once the CEP is lodged via the [Online Service Development Portal](#), if the value of building works approved by your planning permit is over \$20,000, please contact the City's Development Engineer on 6238 2715 to confirm the estimation of the cost of works shown on the submitted engineering drawings has been accepted.

Once confirmed, please call one of the City's Customer Service Officers on 6238 2190 to make payment, quoting the reference number (ie. CEP number) of the Condition Endorsement you have lodged. Once payment is made, your engineering drawings will be assessed.

BUILDING PERMIT

You may need building approval in accordance with the *Building Act 2016*. Click [here](#) for more information.

This is a Discretionary Planning Permit issued in accordance with section 57 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*.

PLUMBING PERMIT

You may need plumbing approval in accordance with the *Building Act 2016*, *Building Regulations 2016* and the National Construction Code. Click [here](#) for more information.

OCCUPATION OF THE PUBLIC HIGHWAY

You may require a Permit to Open Up and Temporarily Occupy a Highway (for work in the road reserve). Click [here](#) for more information.

NEW SERVICE CONNECTION

Please contact the Hobart City Council's City Infrastructure Division to initiate the application process for your [new stormwater connection](#).

PLANNING

The development has been approved as an extension to a single dwelling and not as a multiple dwelling. Therefore, no part of the dwelling should be used as a separate dwelling independently of any other part of the dwelling without further approval.

STORMWATER

Please note that in addition to a building and/or plumbing permit, development must be in accordance with the Hobart City Council's Hydraulic Services By law. Click [here](#) for more information.

WORK WITHIN THE HIGHWAY RESERVATION

Please note development must be in accordance with the Hobart City Council's Highways By law. Click [here](#) for more information.

DRIVEWAY SURFACING OVER HIGHWAY RESERVATION

If a coloured or textured surface is used for the driveway access within the Highway Reservation, the Council or other service provider will not match this on any reinstatement of the driveway access within the Highway Reservation required in the future.

REDUNDANT CROSSOVERS

Redundant crossovers are required to be reinstated under the Hobart City Council's Highways By law. Click [here](#) for more information.

ACCESS

Designed in accordance with LGAT- IPWEA – Tasmanian standard drawings. Click [here](#) for more information.

CROSS OVER CONSTRUCTION

The construction of the crossover can be undertaken by the Council or by a private contractor, subject to Council approval of the design. Click [here](#) for more information.

WORK PLACE HEALTH AND SAFETY

Appropriate occupational health and safety measures should be employed during the works to minimise direct human exposure to potentially contaminated soil, water, dust and vapours. Click [here](#) for more information.

FEES AND CHARGES

Click [here](#) for information on the Council's fees and charges.

DIAL BEFORE YOU DIG

Click [here](#) for dial before you dig information.

BURNET
EWIN

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION LOST

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Sexton
Denison
Behrakis
Dutta
Ewin

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Briscoe
Harvey
Sherlock

BRISCOE
SHERLOCK

That pursuant to the *Hobart Interim Planning Scheme 2015*, the Council refuse the application for partial demolition, alterations and extension (re-advertised – amended proposal) at 1 Nixon Street, Sandy Bay for the reason that the proposal does not satisfy performance criteria E13.7.2 P1(a) in that the development will result in loss of historic cultural heritage significance to the place through incompatible design, including in height, scale, bulk, form, fenestration, siting, materials, colours and finishes.

MOTION LOST

VOTING RECORD

AYES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Briscoe
Harvey

NOES

Sexton
Denison
Behrakis
Dutta
Ewin
Sherlock

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

As the matter was not determined, the Council, pursuant to Section 6(3) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, delegate to the General Manager and Director City Planning, the following functions and powers:

To exercise the power of the Council as planning authority pursuant to Section 59 (7) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* to determine applications where no request to make a decision has been lodged with the Resource Management and Appeal Tribunal.

MOTIONS OF WHICH NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH REGULATION 16(5) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT
(MEETING PROCEDURES) REGULATIONS 2015**

**10. Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority - Membership
File Ref: F19/31512; 13-1-9**

Aldermen Zucco / Behrakis

Motion:

“That an urgent report be prepared on the strategic value of the City of Hobart maintaining its membership to the Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority (STCA).”

Rationale:

“With the recent announcement of Clarence City Council withdrawing from the Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority (STCA) as well as similar motions for consideration by other metropolitan councils, it is timely for the Council to consider its options for withdrawal from the STCA.

The STCA has been without a Chief Executive Officer for a number of years and has taken a reactive rather than proactive approach to issues affecting the Southern region.

Given the possibility that the STCA could be without a number of urban councils, it is time for the Council to consider what value it receives from being a member of this regional body and its options for withdrawal.”

The Acting General Manager reports:

“In line with the Council’s policy in relation to Notices of Motion, I advise that the matter is considered to be within the jurisdiction of the Hobart City Council as it relates to the Council’s membership of the STCA.”

BEHRAKIS
BURNET

That Council consider the motion to be urgent.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Harvey
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet	
Briscoe	
Sexton	
Denison	
Behrakis	
Dutta	
Ewin	
Sherlock	

BEHRAKIS
SHERLOCK

That the motion be adopted.

AMENDMENT

BRISCOE
DENISON

- That
1. The City of Hobart not maintain its membership of the Southern Tasmanian Councils Authority.
 2. A report be prepared on the fulfilment of the outstanding projects which relate to the City of Hobart and how they may be progressed.

AMENDMENT LOST

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Briscoe	Lord Mayor Reynolds
Sexton	Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Denison	Harvey
Behrakis	Dutta
	Ewin
	Sherlock

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet	
Briscoe	
Sexton	
Denison	
Harvey	
Behrakis	
Dutta	
Ewin	
Sherlock	

11. Projected Population and Economic Growth Model
File Ref: F19/30652; 13-1-9

Ref: Open [CPC 9.1](#), 25/03/2019

Councillor Ewin

Motion:

“That a report be prepared into the development of a usable model of projected population and economic growth of the Greater Hobart region. This modelling tool is to be integrated into Hobart City Council planning, infrastructure, strategy development and decision-making.”

Rationale:

“We as a council are being asked to make significant decisions on planning and infrastructure matters without proper information on the projected population and economic growth of Hobart. We need this information readily in order to future-proof our decisions for our community. In light of the housing crisis, climate change and the significant growth Hobart has already undergone in recent years, it is poor governance to consider things like implementing a height limit or moving the university into the CBD without knowing what the future requirements of our city are.”

The Acting General Manager reports:

“In line with the Council’s policy in relation to Notices of Motion, I advise that the matter is considered to be within the jurisdiction of the Hobart City Council as it relates to developing a tool to inform its decision making.”

EWIN
BEHRAKIS

That the motion be adopted.

AMENDMENT

HARVEY
SHERLOCK

That the report also consider using the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals as a framework to achieve sustainable development.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Briscoe
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet	Behrakis
Sexton	
Denison	
Harvey	
Dutta	
Ewin	
Sherlock	

SUBSTANTIVE MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet	
Briscoe	
Sexton	
Denison	
Harvey	
Behrakis	
Dutta	
Ewin	
Sherlock	

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That 1. A report be prepared into the development of a usable model of projected population and economic growth of the Greater Hobart region. This modelling tool is to be integrated into Hobart City

Council planning, infrastructure, strategy development and decision-making.

2. The report also consider using the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals as a framework to achieve sustainable development.

12. Exploration of New International Relationships File Ref: F19/27101

Ref: Open [EDCC 7.1](#), 21/03/2019

Councillor Sherlock

Motion:

“That a report be provided to investigate potential relationships between the City of Hobart and cities in India, Nepal and Fiji.”

Rationale:

“As the migrant and refugee community changes and grows in Hobart, the demographics of the city has evolved. Recognising the importance of the city’s international relationships in promoting cultural understanding and signalling respect to community members with links to places overseas, it is time to consider building and extending the City’s friendship city networks.

India

The 2016 census shows 595 people living in the city were born in India and 911 people have Indian ancestry (parents born in India). This is the third largest represented community in Hobart after the UK and China. Indian students are currently UTAS’ second largest international group. The median age in India is 27 and population projections show it is likely to become the most populous country in the world by 2024. This makes it very likely that Indian student numbers in Hobart will continue to grow. Having a relationship with an Indian city would be a positive communication to the current and prospective Indian community that Hobart is a culturally receptive and welcoming place.

Nepal

The community in Hobart is growing and becoming increasingly visible in the city through their activities that include a Nepalese fair and language school. Every day new people are coming to Tasmania and approximately 40% of the Nepali community reside in the Hobart municipality, according to the President of the Nepali Society of Tasmania, Arjun Prasin.

Fiji

People of Fijian and Indo-Fijian heritage in Tasmania have long supported a vision of Tasmania as a culturally and linguistically diverse and harmonious place. It is our understanding that one of the founders of the Ethnic Communities Council (ECC - now known as the Multicultural Council of Tasmania (MCOT)), was the current President of the Fiji/Australia Association, Donald Ramritu, and other members of the ECC were also from Fiji. This connection to Fiji is reinforced by a number of active community members. A city to city relationship connecting Hobart and Fiji would further build upon the foundations laid by these people and would support further growth of this community.

The City of Hobart has a policy guiding the investigation of new relationships (Attachment A). The following criteria in order of priority must be considered when investigating a new relationship:

- clear identification of the specific outcomes sought from the relationship;
- relevance of the relationship in terms of achieving Council's major goals as outlined in the Strategic Plan;
- the value of the relationship in terms of enhancing economic development, business investment, educational and tourism outcomes for Hobart and Tasmania, including specifically support for local businesses and educational institutions;
- the value of the relationship in terms of supporting community and cultural linkages;
- willingness and capacity of the business or local community of interest to support the relationship;
- the potential for mutual learning through exchanges and information sharing to facilitate sustainability and continuous improvement in the development of the Council's economic, social and environmental objectives;
- the capability of the Council to provide skills and resources to assist in humanitarian and capacity building projects;
- i. Council resources (human and financial) required to sustain the relationship to the satisfaction of both parties; and
- evaluation of any political ramifications through consultation with the Department of Foreign Affairs and local communities.

It is proposed that cities in India, Nepal and Fiji are considered against the criteria and a report is brought back to Council highlighting options for potential new relationships”.

The General Manager reports:

“In line with the Council's policy in relation to Notices of Motion, I advise that the matter is considered to be within the jurisdiction of the Hobart City Council as it relates to the City of Hobart Policy: Guidelines for Future International Relationships.”

SHERLOCK
DUTTA

That the motion be adopted.

AMENDMENT

DENISON
BRISCOE

That other countries with established communities within Hobart also be included.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Briscoe	Dutta
Sexton	Sherlock
Denison	
Harvey	
Behrakis	
Ewin	

SUBSTANTIVE MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet	
Briscoe	
Sexton	
Denison	
Harvey	
Behrakis	
Dutta	
Ewin	
Sherlock	

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

That a report be provided to investigate potential relationships between the City of Hobart and cities in India, Nepal and Fiji and other countries with

established communities within Hobart.

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT & COMMUNICATIONS COMMITTEE

13. International Relations - Potential Visits to Sister / Friendship Cities in the Current Term of Elected Members

File Ref: F19/25270

Ref: Open [EDCC 6.1](#), 21/03/2019

- That: 1. The Council notes the potential pipeline of visits to Hobart's sister / friendship cities over the term of the current elected members as detailed in the report listed at item 6.1 of the Open Economic Development and Communications Committee agenda of 21 March 2019.
2. A further report be prepared that includes a strategic review and status updates of current sister and friendship city relationships.

EWIN
BRISCOE

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Briscoe
Sexton
Denison
Harvey
Behrakis
Dutta
Ewin
Sherlock

14. The City's Role in Recognising Hobart as an Antarctic Gateway

File Ref: F19/26463

Ref: Open [EDCC 6.2](#), 21/03/2019

- That: 1. The Council supports the City's expanded role in recognising, celebrating, supporting and promoting Hobart's relationship with Antarctica and the Southern Ocean.
2. The Council approve for consideration in the 2019-20 Economic

Development budget function an additional \$18,240 for the following activities:

- Antarctic Gateway City branding development including street banners and city lighting: \$9,740.
 - Enabling the four Mayors of the Antarctic Gateway Cities of Punta Arenas, Ushuaia, Cape Town and Christchurch to travel to Hobart in August 2020 to progress thinking and discussions about how the five gateway cities to Antarctic might create value for their communities through collaboration: \$6,000.
 - Annual Antarctic Reception: \$2,500 (in addition to the annual budget of \$7,500).
3. The Council provide \$3,000 in sponsorship of an element of the 12th Polar Law Symposium as requested by the University of Tasmania and the Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, to be funded from the 2019-20 Economic Development budget function and recorded as a grant or benefit in the 2019-20 Annual Report.

EWIN
SHERLOCK

That the recommendation be adopted.

AMENDMENT

SEXTON
BEHRAKIS

That clause 3 be removed.

AMENDMENT CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet	Lord Mayor Reynolds
Briscoe	Harvey
Sexton	
Denison	
Behrakis	
Dutta	
Ewin	
Sherlock	

SUBSTANTIVE MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet	
Briscoe	
Sexton	
Denison	
Harvey	
Behrakis	
Dutta	
Ewin	
Sherlock	

COUNCIL RESOLUTION:

- That: 1. The Council supports the City's expanded role in recognising, celebrating, supporting and promoting Hobart's relationship with Antarctica and the Southern Ocean.
2. The Council approve for consideration in the 2019-20 Economic Development budget function an additional \$18,240 for the following activities:
- Antarctic Gateway City branding development including street banners and city lighting: \$9,740.
 - Enabling the four Mayors of the Antarctic Gateway Cities of Punta Arenas, Ushuaia, Cape Town and Christchurch to travel to Hobart in August 2020 to progress thinking and discussions about how the five gateway cities to Antarctic might create value for their communities through collaboration: \$6,000.
 - Annual Antarctic Reception: \$2,500 (in addition to the annual budget of \$7,500).

15. Connected Hobart - Smart City Framework and Action Plan
File Ref: F19/27937; 18/217-001

Ref: Open [EDCC 6.3](#), 21/03/2019

- That: 1. The Framework and The Action Plan for the Connected Hobart program, marked as Attachments A and B to item 6.3 of the Economic Development and Communications Committee agenda of 21 March 2019, be adopted (in-principle) to enable a period of public consultation to occur commencing on 2 April 2019.

2. The General Manager be delegated the authority to complete The Framework and The Action Plan work post the public consultation period, with a final report to be provided to the Council in July 2019 for formal adoption of the program documents.

EWIN
SHERLOCK

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Briscoe
Sexton
Denison
Harvey
Behrakis
Dutta
Ewin
Sherlock

**16. 2019-20 Fees and Charges - Tasmanian Travel and Information Centre
File Ref: F19/32023; 17/41**

Ref: Special Open [EDCC 4.1](#), 1/04/2019

That the fees and charges for the Tasmanian Travel and Information Centre marked as Attachment A to item 4.1 of the Special Open Economic Development & Communications Committee agenda of 1 April 2019, be implemented for the 2019-20 financial year.

SEXTON
BURNET

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

AYES	NOES
Lord Mayor Reynolds	
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet	
Briscoe	
Sexton	
Denison	
Harvey	
Behrakis	
Dutta	
Ewin	
Sherlock	

17. CLOSED PORTION OF THE MEETING

That the Council resolve by absolute majority that the meeting be closed to the public pursuant to regulation 15(1) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* because the items included on the closed agenda contain the following matters:

- Leave of Absence

The following items were discussed:-

Item No. 1	Minutes of the last meeting of the Closed Portion of the Council Meeting
Item No. 2	Communication from the Chairman
Item No. 3	Leave of Absence
Item No. 4	Consideration of supplementary Items to the agenda
Item No. 5	Indications of pecuniary and conflicts of interest

BURNET
SEXTON

That the recommendation be adopted.

MOTION CARRIED BY ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

VOTING RECORD

AYES

NOES

Lord Mayor Reynolds
Deputy Lord Mayor Burnet
Briscoe
Sexton
Denison
Harvey
Behrakis
Dutta
Ewin
Sherlock

There being no further business the meeting closed at 7:29pm.

TAKEN AS READ AND SIGNED AS
A CORRECT RECORD THIS
15TH DAY OF APRIL 2019.

CHAIRMAN