## PROPOSED ALTERATIONS

at 479 CHURCHILL AVENUE for ANCHORAGE TASMANIA HOLDINGS PTY LTD

## SCHEDULE OF DRAWINGS

#### ARCHITECTURAL

A01 DRAWING INDEX

A02 SITE PLAN

A03 LOWER LOWER FLOOR EXISTING / PROPOSED UNIT 1

REVISION B - 3.11.2017

- A04 UPPER FLOOR EXISTING / PROPOSED UNIT 2
- A05 PLANNING APPROVED UNIT 3 FLOOR PLAN
- A06 EXISTING AND PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION
- A07 EXISTING AND PROPOSED EAST ELEVATION
- A08 EXISTING AND PROPOSED NORTH ELEVATION
- A09 EXISTING AND PROPOSED WEST ELEVATION

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Accredited Building Designer:	Monty East	
Accreditation Number:	CC191O	
Land title reference number:	C.T. 55677 / 20	
Site Area:	809 sqm	

## IMPORTANT

1. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY.

2. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

3. THE CONTRACTORIS TO CHECK ALL LEVELS, DATUMS, AND DIMENSIONS IN RELATION TO THE DRAWINGS AND THE SITE BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK OR SHOP DRAWINGS.

4. ENSURE THAT THIS DRAWING AND ANY ACCOMPANYING DETAILS AND/OR SPECIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN STAMPED AS 'APPROVED' BY THE RELEVANT LOCAL AUTHORITY.

5. THE PROPRETOR IS TO ENSURE THAT ANY "CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL" ISSUED BY THE BUILDING SURVEYOR, RELEVANT COUNCIL AND OTHER STATUTORY AUTHORITIES ARE PASSED ONTO THE CONTRACTOR BEFORE CONSTRUCTION BEGINS.

6. MATERIALS AND WORKMANSHIP SHALL CONFORM WITH RELEVANT STANDARDS, BUILDING CODE OF AUSTRALIA AND PRODUCT MANUFACTURERS WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS.

7. ANY ALTERATION TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND/OR MATERIALS INDICATED IN THESE DRAWINGS IS TO BE APPROVED BY DESIGN EAST, THE ENGINEER, THE BUILDING SURVEYOR, AND THE PROPRIETOR BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK.

8. IF THERE ARE ANY QUERIES IN RELATION TO DIMENSIONS, LEVELS OR CONSTRUCTION DETAILS, CONTACT:

design . EAST

phone email 6223 6740 admin@designeast.com.au



design o

## 479 CHURCHILL AVENUE 5607872 55677 / 20 808.6 sqm. HOBART CITY COUNCIL Property Address: Property ID: Title Reference: Site Area: Municipality: Owner: J. BOURKE SITE KEY (A) EXISTING BUILDING BELOW. (GROUND FLOOR / FIRST FLOOR). SHOWN HATCHED. B PROPOSED EXTENSION TO GROUND FLOOR AND FIRST FLOOR SHOWN HATCHED. C PROPOSED UNIT (SECOND FLOOR) EXISTING FLOOR AREAS EX. BUILDING FOOTPRINT AREA = 180 sqm +/-= 898.6 sqm +/-EX. LOT SIZE AS % OF SITE AREA = 22.63 % PROPOSED FLOOR AREAS PROPOSED BUILDING FOOTPRINT AREA = 340 sqm

× 42 %

SITE NOTES

AS % OF SITE AREA









existing neighbouring property (42 Coolabah Road Sandy Bay) PID: 7659764 C.T. 42511 / 3

LEGEND	
MARK	DESCRIPTION
	EXISTING WALLS TO BE RETAINED.
====	EXISTING WALLS TO BE DEMOLISHED.
	PROPOSED WALLS.

EXISTING LOWER FLOOR AREA

FIRST FLOOR (USABLE) AREA = 121 ± sqm.

#### PROPOSED UNIT 1 FLOOR AREA

FIRST FLOOR (USABLE) AREA = 157 ± sqm.

#### DEMOLITION NOTES

GENERALLY DEMOLITION WORKS MUST BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 2601-2001: DEMOLITION OF STRUCTURES & REGULATIONS 29, 30 & 31 OF THE BUILDING REGULATIONS (Tas.) 2014.

BUILDINGS PRIOR TO 1990 <u>MAY</u> CONTAIN ASBESTOS. Buildings prior to 1986 <u>Are likely</u> to contain Asbestos either In Cladding Material or in Fire Retardant Insulation Material. The Builder Should Check &, if Necessary, take Appropriate Action Before Demolsthing, cutting, sanding, Drilling or otherwise disturbing the existing structure.

PROCEDURES & METHODS OF DEMOLITION MUST BE ADEQUATE TO PREVENT INJURY TO PERSONS & AVOID DAMAGE TO NEIGHBORING PROPERTY.

BEFORE REMOVING EXISTING WALLS SHOWN TO BE DEMOLISHED, BUILDER SHALL CONFIRM OM-SITE WHETHER THEY ARE LOAD-BEARING OR NOT. IF IT IS FOUND THAT THEY ARE LOAD-BEARING, A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MUST BE ENGAGED TO DETERMINE ANY BEAMS REQUIRED TO SUPPORT THESE EXISTING LOADS.

ALL REDUNDANT STORMWATER, SEWER & WATER CONNECTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE DEMOLITION SHALL BE CUT & SEALED TO THE SATISFACTION OF COUNCIL'S SENIOR PLUMBING INSPECTOR.

THE REMOVAL OF EXISTING PLUMBING FIXTURES SHALL INCLUDE ALL ASSOCIATED WASTE & VENT PIPES, FLOOR DRAINS, WATER SERVICE PPEWORK BRACKETS, SUPPORTS etc. & SEAL OFF EXISTING SERVICES. SEAL OFF & MAKE GOOD ALL FLOOR, WALL & ROOF PEMETRATIONS.

GENERALLY, MAKE GOOD TO EXISTING FLODRS, WALLS & CEILINGS WHERE ALL DEMOLITION WORK OCCURS TO MATCH EXISTING AS & WHERE REQUIRED.



UNIT 1 - EXISTING LOWER FLOOR PLAN



UNIT 1 - PROPOSED LOWER FLOOR





design

LEGEND	
MARK	DESCRIPTION
M	EXISTING WALLS TO BE RETAINED.
====	EXISTING WALLS TO BE DEMOLISHED
	PROPOSED WALLS.
7777.	PROPOSED RETAINING WALLS.

EXISTING FIRST FLOOR AREA

FIRST FLOOR (USABLE) AREA = 121 ± som.

**PROPOSED UNIT 2 FLOOR AREA** 

FIRST FLOOR (USABLE) AREA = 13B ± sqm.

#### DEMOLITION NOTES

GENERALLY DEMOLITION WORKS MUST BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 2601-2001: DEMOLITION OF STRUCTURES & REGULATIONS 29, 30 & 31 OF THE BUILDING REGULATIONS (Tas.) 2014.

BUILDINGS PRIOR TO 1990 MAY CONTAIN ASBESTOS. BOILDINGS FRUR TO 1930 <u>THAT</u> CURVAN ASDESTOS. BUILDINGS PROIR TO 1986 <u>ARE LIKELY</u> TO CONTAIN ASDESTOS EITHER IN CLADDING MATERIAL OR IN FRE RETARDANT INSULATION MATERIAL. THE BUILDER SHOULD CHECK **1**, IF NECESSARY, TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION BEFORE DEMOLISHING, CUTTING, SANDING DRILLING OR OTHERWISE DISTURBING THE EXISTING STRUCTURE.

PROCEDURES & METHODS OF DEMOLITION MUST BE ADEQUATE TO PREVENT INJURY TO PERSONS & AVOID DAMAGE TO NEIGHBORING PROPERTY.

BEFORE REMOVING EXISTING WALLS SHOWN TO BE DEMOLISHED, BUILDER SHALL CONFIRM ON-SITE WHETHER THEY ARE LOAD-BEARING OR NOT. IF IT IS FOUND THAT THEY ARE LOAD-BEARING, A STRUCTURAL ENGINEER MUST BE ENGAGED TO DETERMINE ANY BEAMS REQUIRED TO SUPPORT THESE EXISTING LOADS.

ALL REDUNDANT STORMWATER, SEWER & WATER CONNECTIONS Associated with the demolition shall be cut & sealed to the satisfaction of council's senior plumbing inspector.

THE REMOVAL OF EXISTING PLUMBING FIXTURES SHALL INCLUDE ALL ASSOCIATED WASTE & VENT PIPES, FLOOR DRAINS, WATER SERVICE PIPEWORK BRACKETS, SUPPORTS etc. & SEAL OFF EXISTING SERVICES, SEAL OFF & MAKE GOOD ALL FLOOR, WALL & ROOF PENETRATIONS

GENERALLY, MAKE GOOD TO EXISTING FLOORS, WALLS & CEILINGS WHERE ALL DEMOLITION WORK OCCURS TO MATCH EXISTING AS  $\clubsuit$  where required.







LEGEND	
MARK	DESCRIPTION
77772	PROPOSED RETAINING WALLS.
	PROPOSED WALLS.

#### PROPOSED UNIT 3 FLOOR AREA

UNIT 3 (EXCLUDING GARAGE) AREA = 120 ± sqm.

KEY				
MARK	DESCRIPTION			
smoke	PHOTOELECTRIC SMOKE ALARM (HARD WIRED) TO COMPLY WITH BCA 3.7.2 & AS 3786 (must be interconnected where there is more than one alarm).			
🔶 fan	MECHANICAL EXHAUST FAN. INSTALL & DUCT TO OUTSIDE AIR IN ACCORDANCE WITH AS 1668.2.			

#### SETOUT NOTES

1. USE WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ONLY, DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS. 2. ALL LEVELS, DATUMS & DIMENSIONS SMALL BE VERIFIED ON-SITE BEFORE COMMENCING ANY WORK OR SHOP DRAWINGS. ANY ANOMALIES ARE TO BE DIRECTED TO THE DESIGNER.

FLOC	R FINISHES	
MARK	DESCRIPTION	
EPT	BROOM FINISHED CONCRETE SURFACE.	
СT	CERAMIC TILES AS SELECTED.	
STC	STEEL TROWEL FINISHED CONCRETE.	

#### PLANTING SCHEDULE

KEY	COMMON NAME	MATURE SIZE (h x w)	QUANTITY
OP	ORNAMENTAL PEAR TREE (DECIDUOUS)	1.2M + (Screening)	22 No.
LA	LUMA APICULATA	UP TO 1.2 METERS	21 No.





design  $_{\circ}$ 

#### LEGEND

- EX. EXISTING WINDOW / DOOR. F. - FIXED WINDOW.
- F. FIXED WINDOW. A. - AWNING WINDOW.
- G. GLAZED HINGED DOOR.
- SD. SLIDING DOOR. S. - SLIDING WINDOW
- 5. SLIDING WINDOW





PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION





design  $_{\circ}$ 



LEGEND



#### EX. - EXISTING WINDOW / DOOR.

- F. FIXED WINDOW.
- A. AWNING WINDOW
- G. GLAZED HINGED DOOR.
- SD. SLIDING DOOR.









design <sub>o</sub>



- EX. EXISTING WINDOW / DOOR.
- F. FIXED WINDOW.
- A. AWNING WINDOW.
- G. GLAZED HINGED DOOR. SD. SLIDING DOOR.



















# AllUrbanPlanning

22 September 2017

Ben Ikin Senior Statutory Planner Hobart City Council GPO Box 503 HOBART 7001

Dear Ben

## 479 Churchill Avenue – New Development Application for Change of Use to Three Multiple Dwellings

All Urban Planning Pty Ltd has been engaged by the owner, Mr John Bourke, to prepare a planning assessment of the attached proposal for 3 multiple dwellings.

The proposal follows PLN-17-519 for partial demolition, alterations, extension and 2 multiple dwellings granted 4 September 2017.

### Proposal

The proposal includes the following changes from the approved plans under PLN-17-519:

- Conversion of the approved dwelling on the lower and first floors to 2 x 2 bedroom dwellings;
- Alterations to the first floor parking and maneuvering area including low masonry walls on the east and western boundaries;
- Extension of approximately 1m to the northern end of the first floor living room and master bedroom onto the deck area;
- Minor external alterations to windows; and
- Deletion of the external side access pedestrian stair on the western elevation.

No changes are proposed to the approved dwelling on the top floor.

### **Planning Scheme**

The site is zoned General Residential. There are no Code overlays on the planning scheme maps.

Residential use for multiple dwellings is permitted under 10.2 Use Table.

## 10.4 Development Standards for Residential Buildings and Works

## 10.4.1 Residential density for multiple dwellings

Objective:

- To provide for suburban densities for multiple dwellings that:
- (a) make efficient use of suburban land for housing; and
- (b) optimise the use of infrastructure and community services.

Development Standard	Assessment
<ul> <li>A1</li> <li>Multiple dwellings must have a site area per dwelling of not less than:</li> <li>(a) 325m2; or</li> <li>(b) if within a density area specified in Table 10.4.1 below and shown on the planning scheme maps, that specified for the density area.</li> </ul>	The proposal for three multiple dwellings on the 809m <sup>2</sup> site equates to a site area of 269m <sup>2</sup> per dwelling which is less than the permitted standard of 325m <sup>2</sup> under part a). The site is not within a specified density area and is to be assessed under P1.
<ul> <li>P1</li> <li>Multiple dwellings must only have a site area per dwelling that is less than 325 m2, or that specified for the applicable density area in Table 10.4.1, if the development will not exceed the capacity of infrastructure services and:</li> <li>(a) is compatible with the density of the surrounding area; or</li> <li>(b) provides for a significant social or community housing benefit and is in accordance with at least one of the following:</li> <li>(i) the site is wholly or partially within 400 m walking distance of a public transport stop;</li> <li>(ii) the site is wholly or partially within 400 m walking distance of a business,</li> </ul>	The proposed conversion will make efficient use of suburban land and optimize the use of infrastructure and community services. It is considered highly consistent with the Objectives under 10.4.1 and part a) of P1 in that the conversion involves almost no external change from PLN-17-519. For these reasons, in my assessment the proposed density will coexist without problem or conflict with the density of the surrounding area which is characterised by a mix of larger single dwellings and multiple dwellings.

commercial, urban mixed use, village or	
inner residential zone.	

## 10.4.2 Setbacks and building envelope for all dwellings

The proposal does not involve any changes on the frontage or affect A1 or A2.

The proposal does not alter the external building form of the approved building and is within the Permitted building envelope under A3.

The low level boundary walls associated with the parking area comply with A3(b) of 10.4.2 in that they will not exceed 9m in length or 3m in height.

## 10.4.3 Site coverage and private open space for all dwellings

The proposal does not alter the area of roofed buildings on the site or the site coverage and will remain below the 50% standard under A1 (42%).

## Private open space

Each dwelling will have a generous north facing deck area in excess of 24m2, directly accessible from the living areas. Each complies with the requirements of A2 with the exception of a minor variation to the minimum 4m dimension under A2(b)(i) for Unit 2. Total compliance could easily be achieved by extending the centre of the deck of Unit 2 a further 1m towards the north. However in my assessment that is unnecessary as the 44m<sup>2</sup> deck, with impressive river views, direct living room access and excellent solar access will comfortably achieve the Objective for the standard and the requirements of P2.

## 10.4.4 Sunlight and overshadowing for all dwellings

Each dwelling will have extensive north facing glazing and decks that will achieve in excess of 3 hours direct sunlight between 9an and 3pm on 21 June and will comply with A1, A2(b) and A3(b).

## 10.4.5 Width of openings for garages and carports for all dwellings

The proposal does not alter the approved street facing garage and complies with A1.

## 10.4.6 Privacy for all dwellings

The proposal does not alter the approved decks and retains the 1.7m high fixed screens facing the side boundaries. The proposal complies with A1.

The proposed windows comply with A2 either through their positioning or sill heights.

The shared parking area for Units 1 and 2 is located adjacent to the second bedroom and study areas of Unit 2. Total compliance with A3 could be achieved by removing the

windows facing the parking area or applying a screen or obscure glazing. In my assessment these alterations are unnecessary as the proposal satisfies P3. The closest parking spaces will be allocated to Unit 2 and the intended use of those rooms (as a bedroom and study as opposed to living areas) will mean that any detrimental impact through noise or light intrusion will be minimised and provide reasonable opportunity for privacy for that dwelling.

## 10.4.8 Waste storage for multiple dwellings

Each dwelling will have a separate storage area consistent with the requirements of A1.

### E6.0 Parking and Access Code

### E6.6.1 Number of Car Parking Spaces

The proposal includes 6 parking spaces (including jockey spaces for Unit 1 and 2) and complies with A1.

### E6.7.2 Design of Vehicular Accesses

The width and gradient of the access and parking areas have been designed to comply with AS 2890.1 and A1(a).

### E6.7.3 Vehicular Passing Areas Along an Access

The accompanying driveway design includes a 5.5m wide passing bay at the frontage.

## E6.7.4 On-Site Turning

The accompanying driveway design confirms that on site turning will be accommodated on site and will comply with A1.

## E6.7.5 Layout of Parking Areas

The carpark layout complies with A1.

### Conclusion

The proposed conversion will make efficient use of suburban land and optimize the use of infrastructure and community services. It is considered highly consistent with the Objectives and P1 under 10.4.1 in that the conversion involves almost no external change from PLN-17-519. For these reasons, in my assessment the proposed density will coexist without problem or conflict with the density of the surrounding area which is characterised by a mix of larger single dwellings and multiple dwellings.

The proposal involves a number of minor discretions which I consider comfortably comply with the relevant performance criteria and objective for the standards.

In my assessment the proposal complies with the planning scheme and a permit should be granted pursuant to Section 57 of the Act.

I would be pleased to discuss or clarify any aspects as necessary.

Yours sincerely

Frazer Read **Principal** All Urban Planning Pty Ltd





SEARCH OF TORRENS TITLE

VOLUME	FOLIO
55677	20
EDITION	DATE OF ISSUE
2	04-Apr-2017

SEARCH DATE : 23-Jun-2017 SEARCH TIME : 02.40 PM

#### DESCRIPTION OF LAND

City of HOBART Lot 20 on Sealed Plan 55677 (formerly being SP3014) Derivation : Part of 38A-OR-12Ps. Gtd. to W. St. Paul Gellibrand Prior CT 2750/4

#### SCHEDULE 1

M621497 TRANSFER to ANCHORAGE TASMANIA HOLDINGS PTY LTD Registered 04-Apr-2017 at noon

#### SCHEDULE 2

Reservations and conditions in the Crown Grant if any SP 55677 EASEMENTS in Schedule of Easements SP 55677 FENCING PROVISION in Schedule of Easements E88257 MORTGAGE to Butler McIntyre Investments Ltd Registered 04-Apr-2017 at 12.01 PM

#### UNREGISTERED DEALINGS AND NOTATIONS

No unregistered dealings or other notations



FOLIO PLAN

RECORDER OF TITLES

Issued Pursuant to the Land Titles Act 1980







## SCHEDULE OF EASEMENTS

RECORDER OF TITLES

Issued Pursuant to the Land Titles Act 1980



<u>.</u> SCHEDULE OF EASEMENTS AN NO. Note:---The Town Clerk or Council Clerk must 14 sign the certificate on the back page for the pur pose of identification. The Schedule must be signed by the owners and mortgagees of the land affected. Signatures should be attested. Each Lot in Column A is: (1) <u>TOGETHER WITH</u> a Right of Drainage over the Drainage Easement and land shown hereon passing through the Lots/specified opposite thereto in Column B: and (2) SUBJECT TO a Right of Drainage over the Drainage Easement passing through that Lot as appurtenant to the Lots shown hereon and other land specified opposite thereto in Column C. COLUMN A. COLUMN B. COLUMN C. 20 19, AB Balance 17 19 AB 20 lettered BALANCE means such part of the land comprised in Certificate of Title Volume 2504 Folio 46 remaining vested in the Vendors (HESTER TRAVERS CLARKE, JANET DOROTHEA TRAVERS HAYES and JOSEPHINE TRAVERS HANDBURY) date at the time of acceptance hereof but excluding therefrom the Lots shown on the plan. RIGHT OF WAY Each Lot in Column A is: . . . (1) TOGETHER WITH a Right of Carriageway over the Right of Way (private) shown hereon passing through the Lots specified opposite thereto in Column B: and (2) <u>SUBJECT TO</u> a Right of Carriageway over the Right of Way passing through that Lot as appurtenant to the Lots shown hereon and lond specified opposite thereto in Column C. COLUMN A. COLUMN B. COLUMN C. Ĵ3 6 6 6 3 3 FENCING PROVISION In respect of Lots 1 - 8, 19, 20 & 55 the Vendors (hester Travers Clarke, Janet Dorothea Travers Hayes and Josephine Travers Handbury) shall not be required to fence. NO profits a prendre or convenants are hereby created to benefit or burden the Lots shown on the plan 



Search Time: 11:56 AM

Search Date: 12 Oct 2017



## SCHEDULE OF EASEMENTS

RECORDER OF TITLES

Issued Pursuant to the Land Titles Act 1980





Volume Number: 55677



# AllUrbanPlanning

20 October 2017

Ben Ikin Senior Statutory Planner Hobart City Council GPO Box 503 HOBART 7001

Dear Ben

## Further Information – APPLICATION NO.PLN-17-753 479 Churchill Avenue – Partial Change of Use, Alterations and Change of Use to Multiple Dwellings

I refer to your letter 6 October 2017 and respond to item ii) of your request on behalf of the owner, Mr John Bourke of Anchorage Tasmania Holdings Pty Ltd.

Please see below a table setting out the site area per dwelling for all lots within approximately 100m of the site (represented by the blue circle on the figure below).



Existing developed residential properties in the	Site area m <sup>2</sup>	Site area per
surrounding area (within 100m of the site)		dwelling m <sup>2</sup>
469 Churchill Ave	690	690
471 Churchill Ave	690	690
473 Churchill Ave	695	695
475 Churchill Ave	753	753
477 Churchill Ave	770	770
483 Churchill Ave	757	757
485 Churchill Ave	745	745
487 Churchill Ave	740	740
489 Churchill Ave	690	690
462 Churchill Ave	548	548
464 Churchill Ave	590	590
466 Churchill Ave	940	940
468 Churchill Ave	640	640
470 Churchill Ave	630	630
472 Churchill Ave	800	800
474 Churchill Ave	780	780
476 Churchill Ave	708	354
478 Churchill Ave	670	670
480 Churchill Ave	640	640
482 Churchill Ave	400	400
482B Churchill Ave	400	400
28 Coolabah Road	595	595
30 Coolabah Road	600	600
32 Coolabah Road	630	630
34 Coolabah Road	660	660
36 Coolabah Road	680	680
38 Coolabah Road	1095	542
40 Coolabah Road	830	415
42 Coolabah Road	915	915
44 Coolabah Road	670	670
46 Coolabah Road	620	620
48 Coolabah Road	588	588
35 Coolabah Road	710	710
47 Coolabah Road	960	480
49 Coolabah Road	1600	1600
2 Fehre Court	760	760
1 Broadwaters Parade	650	650
3 Broadwaters Parade	830	415
Mean site area per dwelling		669
Medium site area per dwelling		665

As can be seen from the table above, the density of the surrounding area is characterised by unit and apartment development with a density up to 354 m<sup>2</sup> and 415m<sup>2</sup>, interspersed with single dwellings on medium sized lots.

The proposed density is considered to satisfy P1 in that the proposal will be compatible with and coexist comfortably the density of the surrounding area.

The proposed conversion furthers the objective of the standard under 10.4.1 in that it will make efficient use of suburban land and optimize the use of infrastructure and community services. Efficient use necessarily imports the contemplation of higher densities, and so too does optimising the use of infrastructure.

The RMPAT decision of *Henry* sets no empirical limits, but recognises that it depends on the individual circumstance of a particular proposal. While the proposed density need not be, and will almost always not be, the same as the surrounding area, what is relevant is the extent of divergence from the existing density in the particular circumstances of the particular development.

The density of the proposal is greater than the surrounding area, but nevertheless because of its relevant local circumstances, is a density that sits comfortably in the surrounding area. The test of P1 is therefore met.

When making this assessment, it must be contrasted with the situations in both RMPAT decisions in relation to Clause 10.4.1 P1- *Flood* v George Town Council (2016) TASRMPAT and *Henry Design and Consulting v Clarence City Coucnil (2017) TASRMPAT 11. Flood* involved a very high density proposal resulting from an already developed small seaside block. *Henry* involved a proposal to demolish an existing building and constructing four new buildings.

The subject proposal is self evidently different. It proposes a change of use from two approved multiple dwellings to three without any relevant change in the existing approved development.

It is a development in a developed suburban context with multiple dwellings existing within the surrounding area.

There is therefore an expression of efficient use of suburban land already present in the area, to which the proposal is adding to without external change to the approved development on the site.

For the reasons setout above, in my assessment the proposal satisfies P1 of 10.4.1.

I would be pleased to discuss or clarify any aspects as necessary.

Yours sincerely

\_/

Frazer Read **Principal** All Urban Planning Pty Ltd

HOBART

LODATI
C.M. Terry, B. SURV. (Tas.), M.SSSI. (Director)
H. Clement, B. SURV. (Tas.), M.SSSI (Director)
M.S.G. Denholm, B. GEOM. (Tas.), M.SSSI (Director)
A.M. Peacock, B. APP. SC. (SURV), M.SSSI. (Consultant)
D. Panton, B.E. M.I.E. AUST., C.P.ENG. (Consultant)
M. McQueen, B.E., M.I.E. AUST., C.P.ENG (Associate)
L.H. Kiely, Ad. Dip. Civil Eng, Cert IV I.T., (Associate)
A. Collins, Ad. Dip. Surv & Map, (Associate)
KINGSTON
A.P. (Lex) McIndoe, B. SURV. (Tas.), M.SSSI. (Director)
LAUNCESTON
J.W. Dent, OAM, B. SURV. (Tas.), M.SSSI. (Director)
M.B. Reid, B. GEOM. (HONS) (Tas.), M.SSSI M.AIPM (Associate)
BURNIE/DEVONPORT
A.J. Hudson, B. SURV. (Tas.), M.SSSI. (Director)
A.V. Eberhardt, B. GEOM. (Tas.), M.SSSI. (Director)

Our Ref: H-2092C L171201\_H-2092C to Council, re Additional Information

The General Manager Hobart City Council GPO Box 503 HOBART TAS 7001

Email: coh@hobartcity.com.au

Attention: Ben Ikin, Robin Cooper

Dear Sirs,

#### PLN-17-753 479 Churchill Avenue, Sandy Bay Change of Use to Multiple Dwellings Additional Information

We attach amended concept drawings H-2092C01 to 7, dated 30<sup>th</sup> November 2017, detailing the proposed driveway and stormwater drainage for this application.

The drawings have been revised in accordance with the requests for further information from Council dated 1<sup>st</sup> November and 6<sup>th</sup> November 2017.

We note the following:

<u>SW1</u>.

- a) The existing stormwater details are shown on sheet 1. The existing grated trench near the existing carport will be re-used.
- b) It is proposed to install a new grated trench and grated pit to service the new upper garage and two additional grated pits in the lower driveway.
- c) All new driveway drainage will be plumbed to the existing 150mm stormwater lot connection at the rear of the title.
- d) New roofed areas can be drained via gravity to the existing stormwater lot connection.

#### <u>PA 2.1</u>

Additional information and dimensions are provided on the proposed vehicular access. Please note:

- a) It is not proposed to construct any structures in the road reserve of Churchill Avenue.
- b) No passing bay is proposed at the entrance.
- c) The existing crossover will be widened to 5.5m as shown on sheet 4, to comply with IPWEA standard drawings.
- d) The long section of the driveway centreline has been amended to TSD-R09 to prevent "bottoming out". The proposed finished level of the upper garage has been revised to RL91.65.
- e) The long section of the inner edge of the new driveway ramp is shown on sheet 04. At this point, the localised grade of the driveway ramp does exceed 25%, however this is judged to be a safe

OFFICES ALSO AT:

(03) 6229 2131 (03) 6264 1277 (03) 6331 4099

16 Emu Bay Road, Deloraine, 7304

6 Queen Street, Burnie, 7320 63 Don Road, Devonport, 7310



# PDA Surveyors

Surveying, Engineering & Planning

127 Bathurst Street Hobart Tasmania, 7000 Phone (03) 6234 3217 ABN 71 217 806 325 Email: pda.hbt@pda.com.au www.pda.com.au

4<sup>th</sup> December 2017

gradient as the driveway centreline is less than 25% and the inner wheel path will not follow the inner edge of the driveway. It is noted that the existing driveway has an inner edge gradient over 40% grade.

f) The swept path for a vehicle turning from Churchill Avenue into the driveway is shown on sheet 6. This action will not cause any conflict with oncoming traffic in Churchill Avenue.

#### <u>PA 4</u>.

Information on onsite turning is shown on sheets 6 and 7.

- a) The position of proposed retaining walls and vehicle barriers have been amended to clear turning paths.
- b) The drawings illustrate that there are satisfactory safe manoeuvring areas for vehicles in the lower and upper parking areas to exit the property in a forward direction.
- c) Traffic counts undertaken in this section of Churchill Avenue indicate that this section of the road carries fewer than 6000 vehicles per day. There are also few pedestrians on this side of the road because of the limited footpath width. As such, it is judged that an acceptable performance response for the proposed upper garage would be for these two vehicles to reverse out onto Churchill Avenue, as an alternative.

#### <u>PA 5.1</u>

The layout and dimensions of the proposed car parking spaces are shown in sheets 4 and 5.

- The headroom is shown on sheet 3. These comply with AS 2890.1
- a) the minimum width of the entire driveway is 3.6 metres
- b) Extents of a proposed vehicle barriers are shown where a drop exceeds 600mm.
- c) AS 2890.1 requires spaces 1-4 to have a width of 2.4m. We propose spaces of 2.5m width minimum additional of 0.12m clearance to existing structures, that is around 0.22m total clearance. A full 300mm clearance is not required here as the vehicle has room to "straighten up" on approach to these car parks.
- d) Proposed car parking spaces will have a maximum grade of 5%
- e) Proposed turning areas will have a maximum grade of 10%
- f) Maximum driveway gradients have generally been limited to 25% where practicable.

It is judged that the final gradients will be safe, sustainable and an improvement on the existing driveway.

#### <u>PA 6</u>

A typical cross section of the proposed driveway is shown on sheet 8. The proposed new driveway will be reinforced concrete with a non-slip, broomed finish. The surface can be satisfactorily drained to the existing storm water plumbing within the title.

Generally we note that the attached concept drawings largely meet the Acceptable Solutions criteria of the Hobart Interim Planning Scheme 2015. Where AS2890.1 is not satisfied, the proposed solution is judged to be safe, efficient and convenient to all users, and an improvement on the current driveway arrangement.

Yours faithfully PDA Surveyors

Per:

Meredith McQueen CIVIL ENGINEER (B.E.MIEAust CPEng)